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#### Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to investigate the existence and uniqueness of weak solutions for a class of nonlinear degenerate elliptic problems of the form: $$
-\operatorname{div}\left[\nu_{1} a(y, \nabla \varphi)+\nu_{2} b(y, \varphi, \nabla \varphi)\right]+\nu_{3} g(y, \varphi)=\phi(y),
$$ where $\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}$, and $\nu_{3}$ are $A_{p}$-weight functions and the operators $a, b$ and $g$ are Caratéodory functions that satisfy some certain conditions, and $\phi \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}^{1-p^{\prime}}\right)$. The approach used for attaining the mentioned purpose is based on the Browder-Minty theorem and the theory of weighted Sobolev spaces.
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## 1. Introduction

The goal of this paper is to show that there is a unique weak solution in $W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)$ ( $p$ is not necessarily equal to 2 ) for the Dirichlet problem associated with the nonlinear degenerate elliptic equation of the form:

$$
\begin{cases}-\operatorname{div}\left[\nu_{1} a(y, \nabla \varphi)+\nu_{2} b(y, \varphi, \nabla \varphi)\right]+\nu_{3} g(y, \varphi)=\phi(y) & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1}\\ \varphi(y)=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $\Omega$ is a bounded open set in $\mathbb{R}^{N} ; \nu_{1}, \nu_{2}$, and $\nu_{3}$ are $A_{p}$-weight functions, and the functions $b: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$, $a: \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and $g: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are Caratéodory functions that satisfy some assumptions with $\phi \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}^{1-p^{\prime}}\right)$.

The problems of the type (1) have already been studied for the case $\nu_{1} \equiv \nu_{2} \equiv \nu_{3} \equiv 1$; the existence results have been reported in [4] (see also [7]) when $a(y, \nabla \varphi)=0$. Also, the degenerate case with different conditions have been investigated in many papers; for example, see [1-3, 9, 14-23]. Moreover, Cavalheiro established the existence of solution for (1) in [5] when $a(y, \nabla \varphi)=0$ and in [6] when $g(y, \varphi)=0$.

The remaining part of this paper consists of five sections. Definitions and some preliminary results are presented in the next section. The assumptions on $a, b$, and $g$, as well as the notion of weak solutions for (1) are outlined in Section 3. Section 4 is concerned with the main result and its proof. An example is presented in Section 5.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some definitions and basic properties of weighted Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces. Detailed expositions on these concepts can be found in [10,24].

Let $\nu$ be a weight function on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ such that $\nu$ is measurable and strictly positive a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. The space $L^{p}(\Omega, \nu)$ is defined as

$$
L^{p}(\Omega, \nu):=\left\{f: \Omega \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \text { such that }\|f\|_{L^{p}(\Omega, \nu)}=\left(\int_{\Omega}|f(y)|^{p} \nu(y) d y\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}<\infty\right\}
$$

We now establish conditions on $\nu$ that ensure $L^{p}(\Omega, \nu) \subset L_{l o c}^{1}(\Omega)$.

[^0]Proposition 2.1 (see [12,13]). Let $1 \leq p<\infty$ and $B \subset \Omega$ be a ball. If $\nu^{\frac{-1}{p-1}} \in L_{\text {loc }}^{1}(\Omega)$ for $p>1$ and

$$
\text { ess } \sup _{y \in B} \frac{1}{\nu(y)}<+\infty \text { for } p=1 \text {, }
$$

then $L^{p}(\Omega, \nu) \subset L_{\text {loc }}^{1}(\Omega)$.
The class of $A_{p}$-weight is a particularly well-understood class of weights. In harmonic analysis, these classes have a variety of applications (see [24]).

Definition 2.1. For $1 \leq p<\infty$, one has $\nu \in A_{p}$-weight, if there exists $\theta=\theta(p, \nu)$ so that

$$
\left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_{B} \nu(y) d y\right)\left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_{B}(\nu(y))^{\frac{-1}{p-1}} d y\right)^{p-1} \leqslant \theta \text { for } p>1 \text {, and }\left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_{B} \nu(y) d y\right) \text { ess } \sup _{x \in B} \frac{1}{\nu(y)} \leqslant \theta \text { for } p=1 \text {. }
$$

The $A_{p}$ constant of $\nu$ is the infimum over all such constants $\theta$. The set of all $A_{p}$-weights is denoted by $A_{p}$. Additional information on $A_{p}$-weights can be found in [12,25].

Example 2.1. In this example, we have two parts.

1. $\nu \in A_{p} \Leftrightarrow a \leq \nu(z) \leq b$ for a.e. $z \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ with $a, b>0$.
2. For $z \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$, we have $\nu(z):=|Z|^{\lambda} \in A_{p} \Leftrightarrow-N<\lambda<N(p-1)$.

Definition 2.2. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\nu \in A_{p}$. The space $W^{1, p}(\Omega, \nu)$ is defined as

$$
W^{1, p}(\Omega, \nu):=\left\{u \in L^{p}(\Omega, \nu) \text { and } D_{i} u \in L^{p}(\Omega, \nu), i=1, \ldots, N\right\} .
$$

The norm of $u$ in $W^{1, p}(\Omega, \omega)$ is given by

$$
\|\varphi\|_{W^{1, p}(\Omega, \nu)}:=\left(\int_{\Omega}|\varphi(y)|^{p} \nu(y) d y+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega}\left|D_{i} \varphi(y)\right|^{p} \nu(y) d y\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} .
$$

In addition, we define $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega, \nu)$ as the closure of $\mathcal{C}_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in $W^{1, p}(\Omega, \nu)$.
Proposition 2.2 (see [12,13]). The spaces $\left(W^{1, p}(\Omega, \nu),\|\cdot\|_{W^{1, p}(\Omega, \nu)}\right)$ and $\left(W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega, \nu),\|\cdot\|_{W^{1, p}(\Omega, \nu)}\right)$ are separable and reflexive Banach spaces. The dual of $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega, \nu)$ is given by

$$
W_{0}^{-1, p^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu^{1-p^{\prime}}\right)=\left\{u_{0}-\sum_{i=1}^{N} D_{i} u_{i}: \frac{u_{i}}{\nu} \in L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega, \nu), i=0, \ldots, n\right\} .
$$

Theorem 2.1 (see [11]). Let $\nu \in A_{p}$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$. If $v_{i} \longrightarrow$ vin $L^{p}(\Omega, \nu)$, then there exists a subsequence ( $v_{i_{l}}$ ) and $\psi \in L^{p}(\Omega, \nu)$ such that
(i) $u_{i_{l}}(z) \longrightarrow v(z), i_{l} \longrightarrow \infty$.
(ii) $\left|v_{i_{l}}(z)\right| \leqslant \psi(z)$.

Theorem 2.2 (see [8]). If $\nu \in A_{p}$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$, then there exist $B_{\Omega}, \varepsilon, \kappa>0$ with $1 \leqslant \kappa \leqslant \frac{N}{N-1}+\varepsilon$ such that

$$
\|v\|_{L^{\kappa p}(\Omega, \nu)} \leqslant B_{\Omega}\|\nabla v\|_{L^{p}(\Omega, \nu)} .
$$

The Browder-Minty theorem is stated as follows
Theorem 2.3 (Browder-Minty theorem, see [26]). Let $L: \mathcal{W} \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}^{*}$ where $\mathcal{W}$ is a reflexive, real, and separable Banach space. The following assertions hold:

1. If $L$ is coercive, hemicontinuous and monotone operator on $\mathcal{W}$, the problem $L v=T, T \in W^{*}$ admits a solution in $\mathcal{W}$.
2. If $L$ is coercive, hemicontinuous and strictly monotone on $\mathcal{W}$, the problem $L v=T, T \in W^{*}$ admits a unique solution in $\mathcal{W}$.

## 3. Hypotheses and the concept of weak solution

## Hypotheses

We now present some hypotheses on the problem (1). Suppose that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geq 2), \nu_{1}, \nu_{2}$ and $\nu_{3}$ are $A_{p}$-weights, $a_{m}: \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}, b_{m}: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}(m=1, \ldots, N)$, with $a(y, \delta)=\left(a_{1}(y, \delta), \ldots, a_{N}(y, \delta)\right)$ and $b(y, \mu, \delta)=$ $\left(b_{1}(y, \mu, \delta), \ldots, b_{N}(y, \mu, \delta)\right)$ and $g: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that
(H1) $a_{m}, b_{m}$ and $g$ are Caratéodory functions;
(H2) there are $h_{1}, h_{2}, h_{3} t, h_{4} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $f_{1} \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)\left(\right.$ with $\left.\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}=1\right), f_{2} \in L^{q^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{2}\right)$ and $f_{3} \in L^{s^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{3}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
|a(y, \delta)| \leq f_{1}(y)+h_{1}(y)|\delta|^{p-1} \\
|b(y, \mu, \delta)| \leq f_{2}(y)+h_{2}(y)|\mu|^{q-1}+h_{3}(y)|\delta|^{q-1} \\
|g(y, \mu)| \leq f_{3}(y)+h_{4}(y)|\mu|^{s-1}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $(\mu, \delta) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$;
(H3) there exits $\lambda>0$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\langle a(y, \delta)-a\left(y, \delta^{\prime}\right), \delta-\delta^{\prime}\right\rangle \geqslant \lambda\left|\delta-\delta^{\prime}\right|^{p} \\
\left\langle b(y, \mu, \delta)-b\left(y, \mu^{\prime}, \delta^{\prime}\right), \delta-\delta^{\prime}\right\rangle \geqslant 0 \\
\quad\left(g(y, \mu)-g\left(y, \mu^{\prime}\right)\right)\left(\mu-\mu^{\prime}\right) \geqslant 0
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\mu, \mu^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\delta, \delta^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $\mu \neq \mu^{\prime}$ and $\delta \neq \delta^{\prime}$;
(H4) there exist $\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}, \kappa_{3}>0$ such that $\langle a(y, \delta), \delta\rangle \geqslant \kappa_{1}|\delta|^{p}, \quad\langle b(y, \mu, \delta), \delta\rangle \geqslant \kappa_{2}|\delta|^{q}+\kappa_{3}|\mu|^{q}, \quad g(y, \mu) \mu \geqslant 0$.

## The concept of weak solution

The definition of a weak solution of (1) is stated as follows.
Definition 3.1. A function $\varphi \in W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)$ is a weak solution of (1) if for any $v \in W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)$ it holds that

$$
\int_{\Omega}\langle a(y, \nabla \varphi), \nabla v\rangle \nu_{1} d y+\int_{\Omega}\langle b(y, \varphi, \nabla \varphi), \nabla v\rangle \nu_{2} d y+\int_{\Omega} g(y, \varphi) v \nu_{3} d y=\int_{\Omega} \phi v d y
$$

Remark 3.1. For all $\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}, \nu_{3} \in A_{p}$ the following statements hold.
(i) If $1<q<p<\infty$ and $\frac{\nu_{2}}{\nu_{1}} \in L^{k_{1}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)$ where $k_{1}=\frac{p}{p-q}$, then $\|\varphi\|_{L^{q}\left(\Omega, \nu_{2}\right)} \leqslant \vartheta_{p, q}\|\varphi\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)}$ with $\vartheta_{p, q}=\left\|\frac{\nu_{2}}{\nu_{1}}\right\|_{L^{k_{1}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)}^{1 / q}$.
(ii) If $1<s<p<\infty$ and $\frac{\nu_{3}}{\nu_{1}} \in L^{k_{2}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)$ where $k_{2}=\frac{p}{p-s}$, then $\|\varphi\|_{L^{s}\left(\Omega, \nu_{3}\right)} \leqslant \vartheta_{p, s}\|\varphi\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)}$ with $\vartheta_{p, s}=\left\|\frac{\nu_{3}}{\nu_{1}}\right\|_{L^{k_{2}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)}^{1 / s}$.

## 4. Main general result

The next theorem presents the paper's main result.
Theorem 4.1. If the conditions (H1)-(H4) hold, then the problem (1) admits a unique solution in $W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)$.
Proof. We reduce the problem (1) to a new one, governed by the operator problem $\Psi \varphi=\Upsilon$, and we apply Theorem 2.3. We define

$$
\Phi: W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right) \times W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}
$$

and

$$
\Upsilon: W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}
$$

where $\Phi$ and $\Upsilon$ are specified in the following paragraphs.
Hence

$$
\varphi \in W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right) \text { is a weak solution of (1) } \Leftrightarrow \Phi(\varphi, v)=\Upsilon(v) \text { for all } v \in W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right) \text {. }
$$

The theorem is proved in four steps.

## Step 1.

We utilize some tools and the condition (H2) to show the existence of the operator $\Psi$ and that the problem (1) is identical to the operator equation $\Psi \varphi=\Upsilon$. By employing the Hölder's inequality and Theorem 2.2, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\Upsilon(\varphi)| & \leq \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\phi|}{\nu_{1}}|\varphi| \nu_{1} d y \\
& \leq\left\|\phi / \nu_{\nu^{\prime}}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right.}| | \varphi \|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)} \\
& \leq C_{\Omega}\left\|\phi / \nu_{1}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)}\|\varphi\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\phi \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}^{1-p^{\prime}}\right)$, then $\Upsilon \in W_{0}^{-1, p^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}^{1-p^{\prime}}\right)$.
The operator $\Phi$ can be written as

$$
\Phi(\varphi, v)=\Phi_{1}(\varphi, v)+\Phi_{2}(\varphi, v)+\Phi_{3}(\varphi, v),
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi_{1}: W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right) \times W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \\
& \Phi_{1}(\varphi, v)=\int_{\Omega}\langle a(y, \nabla \varphi), \nabla v\rangle \nu_{1} d y, \\
& \Phi_{2}: W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right) \times W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \\
& \Phi_{2}(\varphi, v)=\int_{\Omega}\langle b(y, \varphi, \nabla \varphi), \nabla v\rangle \nu_{2} d y, \\
& \Phi_{3}: W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right) \times W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \\
& \Phi_{3}(\varphi, v)=\int_{\Omega} g(y, \varphi) v \nu_{3} d y .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\Phi(\varphi, v)| \leq\left|\Phi_{1}(\varphi, v)\right|+\left|\Phi_{2}(\varphi, v)\right|+\left|\Phi_{3}(\varphi, v)\right| . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, by utilizing Hölder inequality, Remark 3.1(i), (H2) and Theorem 2.2, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\Phi_{1}(\varphi, v)\right| & \leq \int_{\Omega}|a(y, \nabla \varphi)||\nabla v|_{\nu_{1}} d y \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega}\left(f_{1}+h_{1}|\nabla \varphi|^{p-1}\right)|\nabla v| \nu_{1} d y \\
& \leq\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)}\|\nabla v\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)}+\left\|h_{1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \mid\|\varphi\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)}^{p-1}\|\nabla v\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)} \\
& \leq\left(\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)}+\left\|h_{1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}\|\varphi\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)}^{p-1}\right)\|v\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\Phi_{2}(\varphi, v)\right| & \leq \int_{\Omega}|b(y, \varphi, \nabla \varphi)||\nabla v|_{\nu_{2}} d y \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega}\left(f_{2}+h_{2}|\varphi|^{q-1}+h_{3}|\nabla \varphi|^{q-1}\right)|\nabla v| \nu_{2} d y \\
& \leq\left\|f_{2}\right\|_{L^{q^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{2}\right)}\|\nabla v\|_{L^{q}\left(\Omega, \nu_{2}\right)}+\left\|h_{2}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}\|\varphi\|_{L^{q}\left(\Omega, \nu_{2}\right)}^{q-1}\|\nabla v\|_{L^{q}\left(\Omega, \nu_{2}\right)}+\left\|h_{3}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}\|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^{q}\left(\Omega, \nu_{2}\right)}^{q-1}\|\nabla v\|_{L^{q}\left(\Omega, \nu_{2}\right)} \\
& \leq\left[\vartheta_{p, q}\left\|f_{2}\right\|_{L^{q^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{2}\right)}+\vartheta_{p, q}^{q}\left(C_{\Omega}^{q-1}\left\|h_{2}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}+\left\|h_{3}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}\right)\|\varphi\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)}^{q-1}\right]\|v\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, by using Hölder inequality, Theorem 2.2, (H2) and Remark 3.1, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\Phi_{3}(\varphi, v)\right| & \leq \int_{\Omega}|g(y, \varphi) \| v| \nu_{3} d y \\
& \leq\left[C_{\Omega} \vartheta_{p, s}\left\|f_{3}\right\|_{L^{s^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{3}\right)}+\vartheta_{p, s}^{s} C_{\Omega}^{s}\left\|h_{4}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}\|\varphi\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)}^{s-1}\right]\|v\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\Phi(\varphi, v)| \leq & {\left[\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)}+\left\|h_{1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}\|\varphi\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)}^{p-1}+C_{\Omega} \vartheta_{p, s}\left\|f_{3}\right\|_{L^{s^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{3}\right)}+\vartheta_{p, q}\left\|f_{2}\right\|_{L^{q^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{2}\right)}\right.} \\
& \left.+\vartheta_{p, s}^{s} C_{\Omega}^{s}\left\|h_{4}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}\|\varphi\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)}^{s-1}+\vartheta_{p, q}^{q}\left(C_{\Omega}^{q-1}\left\|h_{2}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}+\left\|h_{3}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}\right)\|\varphi\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)}^{q-1}\right]\|v\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\Phi(\varphi,$.$) is linear and continuous for every \varphi \in W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)$. As a result, there is a linear and continuous operator on $W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)$ labeled by $\Psi$ that provides $\langle\Psi \varphi, v\rangle=\Phi(\varphi, v)$ for all $\varphi, v \in W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)$. We also have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\Psi \varphi\|_{*} \leq & \left\|f_{1}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)}+\left\|h_{1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}\|\varphi\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)}^{p-1}+C_{\Omega} \vartheta_{p, s}\left\|f_{3}\right\|_{L^{s^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{3}\right)}+\vartheta_{p, q}\left\|f_{2}\right\|_{L^{q^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{2}\right)} \\
& +\vartheta_{p, s}^{s} C_{\Omega}^{s}\left\|h_{4}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}\|\varphi\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)}^{s-1}+\vartheta_{p, q}^{q}\left(C_{\Omega}^{q-1}\left\|h_{2}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}+\left\|h_{3}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}\right)\|\varphi\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)}^{q-1},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\|\Psi \varphi\|_{*}:=\sup \left\{|\langle\Psi \varphi, v\rangle|=|\Phi(\varphi, v)|: v \in W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right),\|v\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)}=1\right\}
$$

is the norm in $W_{0}^{-1, p^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}^{1-p^{\prime}}\right)$. Therefore, we get the operator

$$
\Psi: W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right) \longrightarrow W_{0}^{-1, p^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}^{1-p^{\prime}}\right)
$$

Therefore, the problem (1) is equivalent to the operator equation

$$
\Psi \varphi=\Upsilon, \quad \varphi \in W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)
$$

## Step 2.

In this step, we demonstrate that $\Psi$ is strictly monotonic. For all $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2} \in W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)$ with $\varphi_{1} \neq \varphi_{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\Psi \varphi_{1}-\Psi \varphi_{2}, \varphi_{1}-\varphi_{2}\right\rangle= & \Phi\left(\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{1}-\varphi_{2}\right)-\Phi\left(\varphi_{2}, \varphi_{1}-\varphi_{2}\right) \\
= & \int_{\Omega}\left\langle a\left(y, \nabla \varphi_{1}\right), \nabla\left(\varphi_{1}-\varphi_{2}\right)\right\rangle \nu_{1} d y-\int_{\Omega}\left\langle a\left(y, \nabla \varphi_{2}\right), \nabla\left(\varphi_{1}-\varphi_{2}\right)\right\rangle \nu_{1} d y \\
& +\int_{\Omega}\left\langle b\left(y, \varphi_{1}, \nabla \varphi_{1}\right), \nabla\left(\varphi_{1}-\varphi_{2}\right)\right\rangle \nu_{2} d y-\int_{\Omega}\left\langle b\left(y, \varphi_{2}, \nabla \varphi_{2}\right), \nabla\left(\varphi_{1}-\varphi_{2}\right)\right\rangle \nu_{2} d y \\
& +\int_{\Omega} g\left(y, \varphi_{1}\right)\left(\varphi_{1}-\varphi_{2}\right) \nu_{3} d y-\int_{\Omega} g\left(y, \varphi_{2}\right)\left(\varphi_{1}-\varphi_{2}\right) \nu_{3} d y \\
= & \int_{\Omega}\left\langle a\left(y, \nabla \varphi_{1}\right)-a\left(y, \nabla \varphi_{2}\right), \nabla\left(\varphi_{1}-\varphi_{2}\right)\right\rangle \nu_{1} d y+\int_{\Omega}\left(g\left(y, \varphi_{1}\right)-g\left(y, \varphi_{2}\right)\right)\left(\varphi_{1}-\varphi_{2}\right) \nu_{3} d y \\
& +\int_{\Omega}\left\langle b\left(y, \varphi_{1}, \nabla \varphi_{1}\right)-b\left(y, \varphi_{2}, \nabla \varphi_{2}\right), \nabla\left(\varphi_{1}-\varphi_{2}\right)\right\rangle \nu_{2} d y
\end{aligned}
$$

By usung (H3), we obtain

$$
\left\langle\Psi \varphi_{1}-\Psi \varphi_{2}, \varphi_{1}-\varphi_{2}\right\rangle \geq \int_{\Omega} \lambda\left|\nabla\left(\varphi_{1}-\varphi_{2}\right)\right|^{p} \nu_{1} d y \geq \lambda\left\|\nabla\left(\varphi_{1}-\varphi_{2}\right)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)}^{p}
$$

and by Theorem 2.2, we conclude that

$$
\left\langle\Psi \varphi_{1}-\Psi \varphi_{2}, \varphi_{1}-\varphi_{2}\right\rangle \geq \frac{\lambda}{\left(C_{\Omega}^{p}+1\right)}\left\|\varphi_{1}-\varphi_{2}\right\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)}^{p},
$$

which implies that $\Psi$ is strictly monotone.

## Step 3.

This step establishes the coerciveness of the operator $\Psi$. For all $\varphi \in W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle\Psi \varphi, \varphi\rangle & =\Phi(\varphi, \varphi) \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\langle a(y, \nabla \varphi), \nabla \varphi\rangle \nu_{1} d y+\int_{\Omega}\langle b(y, \varphi, \nabla \varphi), \nabla \varphi\rangle \nu_{2} d y+\int_{\Omega} g(y, \varphi) u \nu_{3} d y
\end{aligned}
$$

From Theorem 2.2 and (H4), it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle\Psi \varphi, \varphi\rangle & \geq \kappa_{1} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla \varphi|^{p} \nu_{1} d y+\kappa_{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla \varphi|^{q} \nu_{2} d y+\kappa_{3} \int_{\Omega}|\varphi|^{q} \nu_{2} d y \\
& \geq \kappa_{1} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla \varphi|^{p} \nu_{1} d y+\min \left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{3}\right)\left[\int_{\Omega}|\nabla \varphi|^{q} \nu_{2} d y+\int_{\Omega}|\varphi|^{q} \nu_{2} d y\right] \\
& =\kappa_{1}\|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)}^{p}+\min \left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{3}\right)\|\varphi\|_{W_{0}^{1, q}\left(\Omega, \nu_{2}\right)}^{q} \\
& \geq \kappa_{1}\|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)}^{p} \\
& \geq \frac{\kappa_{1}}{\left(C_{\Omega}^{p}+1\right)}\|\varphi\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)}^{p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, we obtain

$$
\frac{\langle\Psi \varphi, \varphi\rangle}{\|\varphi\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)}^{1,}} \geq \frac{\kappa_{1}}{\left(C_{\Omega}^{p}+1\right)}\|\varphi\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)}^{p-1} .
$$

Therefore, as $p>1$, we conclude that

$$
\frac{\langle\Psi \varphi, \varphi\rangle}{\|\varphi\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)}} \longrightarrow+\infty \text { as }\|\varphi\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)} \longrightarrow+\infty,
$$

which means that $\Psi$ is coercive.

## Step 4.

In this step, we show that $\Psi$ is continuous. To do this, consider $\varphi_{k} \longrightarrow \varphi$ in $W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)$ as $k \longrightarrow \infty$. Then $\varphi_{k} \longrightarrow \varphi$ in $L^{p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right), \nabla \varphi_{k} \longrightarrow \nabla \varphi$ in $\left(L^{p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)\right)^{n}$. Therefore, according to Theorem 2.1, there exist $\left(\varphi_{k_{i}}\right), \psi_{1} \in L^{p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)$ and $\psi_{2} \in L^{p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)$ in such a way that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\varphi_{k_{i}}(y) \longrightarrow \varphi(y), & \text { in } \Omega \\
\left|\varphi_{k_{i}}(y)\right| \leq \psi_{1}(y), & \text { in } \Omega \\
\nabla \varphi_{k_{i}}(y) \longrightarrow \nabla \varphi(y), & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{3}\\
\left|\nabla \varphi_{k_{i}}(y)\right| \leq \psi_{2}(y), & \text { in } \Omega .
\end{array}
$$

We are going to establish that $\Psi \varphi_{k} \longrightarrow \Psi \varphi$ in $W_{0}^{-1, p^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}^{1-p^{\prime}}\right)$. It is proved in three steps.
Step 4.1.
Let us define the operator $B_{j}: W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right) \longrightarrow L^{p^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)$ by $\left(B_{j} \varphi\right)(y)=a_{j}(y, \nabla \varphi(y))$. We now show that $B_{j} \varphi_{k} \longrightarrow B_{j} \varphi$ in $L^{p^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)$.
(i) For all $\varphi \in W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)$, by Theorem 2.2 and (H2), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|B_{j} \varphi\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)}^{p^{\prime}} & =\int_{\Omega}\left|B_{j} \varphi(y)\right|^{p^{\prime}} \nu_{1} d y=\int_{\Omega}\left|a_{j}(y, \nabla \varphi)\right|^{p^{\prime}} \nu_{1} d y \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega}\left(f_{1}+h_{1}|\nabla \varphi|^{p-1}\right)^{p^{p^{\prime}}} \nu_{1} d y \\
& \leq C_{p} \int_{\Omega}\left(f_{1}^{p^{\prime}}+h_{1}^{p^{\prime}}|\nabla \varphi|^{p}\right) \nu_{1} d y \\
& \leq C_{p}\left[\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)}^{p^{\prime}}+\left\|h_{1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{p^{\prime}}\|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)}^{p}\right] \\
& \leq C_{p}\left[\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)}^{p^{\prime}}+\left\|h_{1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{p^{\prime}}\|u\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)}^{p}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) By (H2) and (3), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|B_{j} \varphi_{k_{i}}-B_{j} \varphi\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)}^{p^{\prime}} & =\int_{\Omega}\left|B_{j} \varphi_{k_{i}}(y)-B_{j} \varphi(y)\right|^{p^{p^{\prime}}} \nu_{1} d y \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|a_{j}\left(y, \nabla \varphi_{k_{i}}\right)\right|+\left|a_{j}(y, \nabla \varphi)\right|\right)^{p^{\prime}} \nu_{1} d y \\
& \left.\leq C_{p} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|a_{j}\left(y, \nabla \varphi_{k_{i}}\right)\right|^{p^{\prime}}+\mid a_{j}(y, \nabla \varphi)\right)^{p^{\prime}}\right) \nu_{1} d y \\
& \leq C_{p} \int_{\Omega}\left[\left(f_{1}+h_{1}\left|\nabla \varphi_{k_{i}}\right|^{p-1}\right)^{p^{\prime}}+\left(f_{1}+h_{1}|\nabla \varphi|^{p-1}\right)^{p^{\prime}}\right] \nu_{1} d y \\
& \leq C_{p} \int_{\Omega}\left[\left(f_{1}+h_{1} \psi_{2}^{p-1}\right)^{p^{\prime}}+\left(f_{1}+h_{1} \psi_{2}^{p-1}\right)^{p^{\prime}}\right] \nu_{1} d y \\
& \leq 2 C_{p} C_{p}^{\prime} \int_{\Omega}\left(f_{1}^{p^{p^{\prime}}}+h_{1}^{p^{\prime}} \psi_{2}^{p}\right) \nu_{1} d y \\
& \leq 2 C_{p} C_{p}^{\prime}\left[\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)}^{p^{\prime}}+\left\|h_{1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{p^{\prime}}\left\|\psi_{2}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)}^{p}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

As $k \longrightarrow \infty$, by using (H1), we get

$$
B_{j} \varphi_{k_{i}}(y)=a_{j}\left(y, \nabla \varphi_{k_{i}}(y)\right) \longrightarrow a_{j}(y, \nabla \varphi(y))=B_{j} \varphi(y), \text { for almost all } x \in \Omega .
$$

Consequently, by Lebesgue's theorem, we have

$$
\left\|B_{j} \varphi_{k_{i}}-B_{j} \varphi\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)} \longrightarrow 0 \Leftrightarrow B_{j} \varphi_{k_{i}} \longrightarrow B_{j} \varphi \quad \text { in } \quad L^{p^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right) .
$$

Finally, considering the principle of convergence in Banach spaces, we conclude

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{j} \varphi_{k} \longrightarrow B_{j} \varphi \quad \text { in } \quad L^{p^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Step 4.2.

Define $G_{j}: W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right) \longrightarrow L^{q^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{2}\right)$ by $\left(G_{j} \varphi\right)(y)=b_{j}(y, \varphi(y), \nabla \varphi(y))$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{j} \varphi_{k} \longrightarrow G_{j} \varphi \quad \text { in } \quad L^{q^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{2}\right) . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(i) For all $\varphi \in W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)$, by Remark 3.1(i), (H2) and Theorem 2.2, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|G_{j} \varphi\right\|_{L^{q^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{2}\right)}^{q^{\prime}} & =\int_{\Omega}\left|b_{j}(y, \varphi, \nabla \varphi)\right|^{q^{\prime}} \nu_{2} d y \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega}\left(f_{2}+h_{2}|\varphi|^{q-1}+h_{3}|\nabla \varphi|^{q-1}\right)^{q^{\prime}} \nu_{2} d y \\
& \leq C_{q} \int_{\Omega}\left[f_{2}^{q^{\prime}}+h_{2}^{q^{\prime}}|\varphi|^{q}+h_{3}^{q^{\prime}}|\nabla \varphi|^{q}\right] \nu_{2} d y \\
& \leq C_{q}\left[\left\|f_{2}\right\|_{L^{q^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{2}\right)}^{q^{\prime}}+\left\|h_{2}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{q^{\prime}}\|\varphi\|_{L^{q}\left(\Omega, \nu_{2}\right)}^{q}+\left\|h_{3}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{q^{\prime}}\|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^{q}\left(\Omega, \nu_{2}\right)}^{q}\right] \\
& \leq C_{q}\left[\left\|f_{2}\right\|_{L^{q^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{2}\right)}^{q^{\prime}}+C_{p, q}^{q}\left(C_{\Omega}^{q}\left\|h_{2}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{q^{\prime}}+\left\|h_{3}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{q^{\prime}}\right)\|u\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)}^{q}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) By using Remark 3.1(i), (H2), and the similar reasoning as employed in Step 4.1(ii), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{j} \varphi_{k} \longrightarrow G_{j} \varphi \quad \text { in } \quad L^{q^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{2}\right) . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Step 4.3.

We define the operator $H: W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right) \longrightarrow L^{s^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{3}\right)$ by $(H \varphi)(y)=g(y, \varphi(y))$. In this step, we show that $H \varphi_{k} \longrightarrow H \varphi$ in $L^{s^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{3}\right)$.
(i) For all $\varphi \in W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)$, by using Remark 3.1(ii) and (H2), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|H \varphi\|_{L^{s^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{3}\right)}^{s^{\prime}} & =\int_{\Omega}|g(y, \varphi)|^{s^{\prime}} \nu_{3} d y \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega}\left(f_{3}+h_{4}|\varphi|^{s-1}\right)^{s^{\prime}} \nu_{3} d y \\
& \leq C_{s} \int_{\Omega}\left(f_{3}^{s^{\prime}}+h_{4}^{s^{\prime}}|\varphi|^{s}\right) \nu_{3} d y \\
& \leq C_{s}\left[\left\|f_{3}\right\|_{L^{s^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{3}\right)}^{s^{\prime}}+\left\|h_{4}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{p^{\prime}}\|\varphi\|_{L^{s}\left(\Omega, \nu_{3}\right)}^{s}\right] \\
& \leq C_{s}\left[\left\|f_{3}\right\|_{L^{s^{s}\left(\Omega, \nu_{3}\right)}}^{s^{\prime}}+C_{p, s}^{s}\left\|h_{4}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{p^{\prime}}\|\varphi\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)}^{s}\right] \\
& \leq C_{s}\left[\left\|f_{3}\right\|_{L^{s^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)}+C_{p, s}^{s} C_{\Omega}^{s}\left\|h_{4}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{s^{\prime}}\|\varphi\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)}^{s}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) From Remark 3.1(ii) and (H2), it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|H \varphi_{k_{i}}-H \varphi\right\|_{L^{s^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{3}\right)}^{s^{\prime}} & =\int_{\Omega}\left|H \varphi_{k_{i}}(y)-H \varphi(y)\right|^{p^{p^{\prime}}} \nu_{3} d y \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|g\left(y, \varphi_{k_{i}}\right)\right|+|g(y, \varphi)|\right)^{s^{\prime}} \nu_{3} d y \\
& \leq C_{s} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|g\left(y, \varphi_{k_{i}}\right)\right|^{s^{\prime}}+|g(y, \varphi)|^{s^{\prime}}\right) \nu_{3} d y \\
& \leq C_{s} \int_{\Omega}\left[\left(f_{3}+h_{4}\left|\varphi_{k_{i}}\right|^{s-1}\right)^{s^{\prime}}+\left(f_{3}+h_{4}|\varphi|^{s-1}\right)^{s^{\prime}}\right] \nu_{3} d y \\
& \leq C_{s} \int_{\Omega}\left[\left(f_{3}+h_{4}\left|\psi_{1}\right|^{s-1}\right)^{s^{\prime}}+\left(f_{3}+h_{4} \psi_{1}^{s-1}\right)^{s^{\prime}}\right] \nu_{3} d y \\
& \leq 2 C_{s} C_{s}^{\prime}\left[\left\|f_{3}\right\|\left\|_{L^{s^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{3}\right)}^{s^{\prime}}+\right\| h_{4}\left\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{s^{\prime}}\right\| \psi_{1} \|_{L^{s}\left(\Omega, \nu_{3}\right)}^{s}\right] \\
& \leq 2 C_{s} C_{s}^{\prime}\left[\left\|f_{3}\right\|\left\|_{L^{s^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{3}\right)}^{s^{\prime}}+\vartheta_{p, s}^{s}\right\| h_{4}\left\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{s^{\prime}}\right\| \psi_{1} \|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)}^{s}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

As $k \longrightarrow \infty$, by using (H1), we obtain

$$
H \varphi_{k_{i}}(y)=g\left(y, \varphi_{k_{i}}(y)\right) \longrightarrow g(y, u(y))=H \varphi(y), \quad \text { a.e. } x \in \Omega .
$$

Consequently, by means of Lebesgue's theorem, we have

$$
\left\|H \varphi_{k_{i}}-H \varphi\right\|_{L_{s^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{3}\right)} \longrightarrow 0,
$$

that is,

$$
H \varphi_{k_{i}} \longrightarrow H \varphi \quad \text { in } \quad L^{s^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{3}\right) .
$$

Finally, considering the principle of convergence in Banach spaces, we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H \varphi_{k} \longrightarrow H \varphi \quad \text { in } \quad L^{s^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{3}\right) . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

At last, by considering $v \in W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)$ and with the help of Theorem 2.2, Hölder inequality, and Remark 3.1, we arrive at

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\Phi_{1}\left(\varphi_{k}, v\right)-\Phi_{1}(\varphi, v)\right| & =\left|\int_{\Omega}\left\langle a\left(y, \nabla \varphi_{k}\right)-a(y, \nabla \varphi), \nabla v\right\rangle \nu_{1} d y\right| \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega}\left|a_{j}\left(y, \nabla \varphi_{k}\right)-a_{j}(y, \nabla \varphi) \| D_{j} v\right| \nu_{1} d y \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega}\left|B_{j} \varphi_{k}-B_{j} \varphi\right|\left|D_{j} v\right| \nu_{1} d y \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\|B_{j} \varphi_{k}-B_{j} \varphi\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)}\left\|D_{j} v\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)} \\
& \leq\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\|B_{j} \varphi_{k}-B_{j} \varphi\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)}\right)\|v\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\Phi_{2}\left(\varphi_{k}, v\right)-\Phi_{2}(\varphi, v)\right|=\left|\int_{\Omega}\left\langle b\left(y, \varphi_{k}, \nabla \varphi_{k}\right)-b(y, \varphi, \nabla \varphi), \nabla v\right\rangle \nu_{2} d y\right| \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega}\left|b_{j}\left(y, \varphi_{k}, \nabla \varphi_{k}\right)-b_{j}(y, \varphi, \nabla \varphi)\right|\left|D_{j} v\right| \nu_{2} d y \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega}\left|G_{j} \varphi_{k}-G_{j} \varphi\right|\left|D_{j} v\right| \nu_{2} d y \\
& \leq\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\|G_{j} \varphi_{k}-G_{j} \varphi\right\|_{L^{q^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{2}\right)}\right)\|\nabla v\|_{L^{q}\left(\Omega, \nu_{2}\right)} \\
& \leq \vartheta_{p, q}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\|G_{j} \varphi_{k}-G_{j} \varphi\right\|_{L^{q^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{2}\right)}\right)\|\nabla v\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)} \\
& \leq \vartheta_{p, q}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\|G_{j} \varphi_{k}-G_{j} \varphi\right\|_{L^{q^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{2}\right)}\right)\|v\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)}, \\
& \left|\Phi_{3}\left(\varphi_{k}, v\right)-\Phi_{3}(\varphi, v)\right| \leq \int_{\Omega}\left|g\left(y, \varphi_{k}\right)-g(y, \varphi)\right||v| \nu_{3} d y \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left|H \varphi_{k}-H \varphi\right||v| \nu_{3} d y \\
& \leq\left\|H \varphi_{k}-H \varphi\right\|_{L^{s^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{3}\right)}\|v\|_{L^{s}\left(\Omega, \nu_{3}\right)} \\
& \leq \vartheta_{p, s}\left\|H \varphi_{k}-H \varphi\right\|_{L^{s^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{3}\right)}\|v\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)} \\
& \leq \vartheta_{p, s} C_{\Omega}\left\|H \varphi_{k}-H \varphi\right\|_{L^{s^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{3}\right)}\|v\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, for all $v \in W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\Phi\left(\varphi_{k}, v\right)-\Phi(\varphi, v)\right| \leq\left|\Phi_{1}\left(\varphi_{k}, v\right)-\Phi_{1}(\varphi, v)\right|+\left|\Phi_{2}\left(\varphi_{k}, v\right)-\Phi_{2}(\varphi, v)\right|+\left|\Phi_{3}\left(\varphi_{k}, v\right)-\Phi_{3}(\varphi, v)\right| \\
& \leq\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\left\|B_{j} \varphi_{k}-B_{j} \varphi\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)}+\vartheta_{p, q}\left\|G_{j} \varphi_{k}-G_{j} \varphi\right\|_{L^{q^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{2}\right)}\right)+\vartheta_{p, s} C_{\Omega}\left\|H \varphi_{k}-H \varphi\right\|_{L^{s^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{3}\right)}\right]\|v\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

and consequently, we get

$$
\left\|\Psi \varphi_{k}-\Psi \varphi\right\|_{*} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\left\|B_{j} \varphi_{k}-B_{j} \varphi\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)}+\vartheta_{p, q}\left\|G_{j} \varphi_{k}-G_{j} \varphi\right\|_{L^{q^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{2}\right)}\right)+\vartheta_{p, s} C_{\Omega}\left\|H \varphi_{k}-H \varphi\right\|_{L^{s^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{3}\right)}
$$

Combining (4), (6) and (7), we deduce that

$$
\left\|\Psi \varphi_{k}-\Psi \varphi\right\|_{*} \longrightarrow 0 \text { as } m \longrightarrow \infty
$$

that is, $\Psi \varphi_{k} \longrightarrow \Psi \varphi$ in $W_{0}^{-1, p^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}^{1-p^{\prime}}\right)$, which implies that $\Psi$ is continuous.
We have now proved that $\Psi$ is strictly monotone, coercive and hemicontinuous, and $\Upsilon \in W_{0}^{-1, p^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}^{1-p^{\prime}}\right)$. Thus, we have verified all the conditions of Theorem 2.3. As a result, from Theorem 2.3, it follows that the operator equation $\Psi \varphi=\Upsilon$ admits the unique weak solution $\varphi \in W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)$ and it also follows that $u$ is the unique weak solution for (1). This completes the proof of Theorem 4.1.

## 5. Example

Set $\Omega=\left\{(y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: x^{2}+y^{2}<1\right\}$, and let $\nu_{1}(y, z)=\left(y^{2}+z^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}, \nu_{2}(y, z)=\left(y^{2}+z^{2}\right)^{-1 / 3}$ and $\nu_{3}(y, z)=\left(y^{2}+z^{2}\right)^{-1}$ (note that $\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}, \nu_{3} \in A_{4}, p=4, q=3$ and $s=2$ ), and we define $b: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}, a: \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ and $g: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
a((y, z), \delta)=h_{1}(y, z)|\delta|^{3} \operatorname{sgn}(\delta)
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
b((y, z), \mu, \delta)=|\delta|^{2} \operatorname{sgn}(\delta) \\
g((y, z), \mu)=h_{4}(y, z)|\mu| \operatorname{sgn}(\mu)
\end{gathered}
$$

with $h_{1}(y, z)=2 e^{\left(y^{2}+z^{2}\right)}$ and $h_{4}(y, z)=2-\cos ^{2}(y z)$. Let us look at the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
\mathcal{A} \varphi(y, z)=\cos (y+z) & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{8}\\
\varphi(y, z)=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{A} \varphi(y, z)=-\operatorname{div}\left[\nu_{1} a((y, z), \nabla \varphi(y, z))+\nu_{2} b((y, z), \varphi(y, z), \nabla \varphi(y, z))\right]+\nu_{3} g((y, z), \varphi(y, z)) .
$$

From Theorem 4.1, it follows that the problem (8) admits the unique weak solution in $W_{0}^{1,4}\left(\Omega, \nu_{1}\right)$.
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