

# An asymptotic formula of a sum function involving gcd and characteristic function of the set of $r$ -free numbers

Mihoub Bouderbala<sup>1</sup>, Meselem Karras<sup>2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Mathematics, LA3C, Houari-Boumediène University of Science and Technology, Bab Ezzouar, Algeria

<sup>2</sup>FIMA Laboratory, Djilali Bounaama University, Khemis Miliana, Algeria

(Received: 15 August 2020. Received in revised form: 31 August 2020. Accepted: 3 September 2020. Published online: 5 October 2020.)

© 2020 the authors. This is an open access article under the CC BY (International 4.0) license ([www.creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/](http://www.creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)).

## Abstract

Let  $\gcd(k, j)$  be the greatest common divisor of the positive integers  $k$  and  $j$ . For any real number  $x > 1$  and for any fixed positive integers  $s$  and  $r$ , we give an asymptotic formula of the sum function

$$\sum_{k \leq x} \frac{1}{k^{s+1}} \sum_{j=1}^k j^s \mu_r(\gcd(j, k)),$$

where  $\mu_r$  is the characteristic function of the set of  $r$ -free numbers.

**Keywords:** gcd-sum function; Dirichlet convolution; sum function.

**2020 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 11A25, 11N37.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $k$  and  $j$  be two positive integers. We denote by  $\gcd(k, j)$  the greatest common divisor of the integers  $k$  and  $j$ . For any two arithmetical functions  $f$  and  $g$ , let us consider the sum function

$$S_k(j) = S_{f,g}(k, j) := \sum_{d | \gcd(k,j)} f(d) g(k/d). \quad (1)$$

The function given in (1) is a generalization of the following sum function

$$S_{f,g}(k) := \sum_{d | k} f(d) g(k/d) = (f * g)(k),$$

where the symbol “\*” denotes the Dirichlet convolution of arithmetic functions. We remark here that Anderson and Apostol [1] are the first who created this sum function. However, the function  $S_k(j)$  has been studied by several researchers, including Johnson [6], Apostol [2]; and Kiuchi, Minamide and Ueda [9]. In particular, Kiuchi [8] proved the following formula

$$\sum_{k \leq x} \frac{1}{k^{s+1}} \sum_{j=1}^k j^s S_k(j) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{(f * g)(n)}{n} + \frac{1}{s+1} \sum_{n \leq x} \left( \frac{f}{Id} * g \right) (n) + \frac{1}{s+1} \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} \binom{s+1}{2m} B_{2m} \sum_{n \leq x} \left( \frac{f}{Id} * \frac{g}{Id_{2m}} \right) (n) \quad (2)$$

which is valid for any positive integer  $k$  and any fixed positive integer  $s$ , where  $B_m$  is Bernoulli’s number,  $[t]$  is the integer part of  $t$  and for any positive integer  $n$  the functions  $Id$ ,  $Id_m$  and the unit function 1 are given as  $Id(n) = n$ ,  $Id_m(n) = n^m$ , for any real number  $m$ , and  $1(n) = 1$ . We note that the formula (2) has a lot of interesting applications (see [7]). The sums of the form

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \sum_{j=1}^n f(\gcd(j, n)) \quad (3)$$

have also been studied by many researchers (see [3, 4]). In 2010, O. Bordellès [4] gave an asymptotic formula of (3) under the assumption that  $x \geq 1$  is sufficiently large and  $f$  is an arithmetic function satisfying certain hypotheses. In this note, an asymptotic formula of the sum function

$$\sum_{k \leq x} \frac{1}{k^{s+1}} \sum_{j=1}^k j^s \mu_r(\gcd(j, k))$$

is given, where  $x$  is any real number greater than 1;  $s$  and  $r$  are any fixed positive integers; and  $\mu_r$  is the characteristic function of the set of  $r$ -free numbers.

\*Corresponding author ([karras.m@hotmail.fr](mailto:karras.m@hotmail.fr))

## 2. Main result

Let  $\mu_r$  and  $f_r$  be two functions defined by

$$f_r(n) = \begin{cases} \mu(m) & \text{if } n = m^r, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

and

$$\mu_r(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is an } r\text{-free number,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $r \geq 2$  is a fixed integer and  $\mu$  is the Möbius function. Denote by  $\zeta(s)$  the Riemann zeta-function. The proof of the next lemma is well-known, however, we include it for the sake of completeness.

**Lemma 2.1.** *For any fixed integer  $r \geq 2$ , we have*

$$\mu_r = 1 * f_r. \tag{5}$$

i.e.,

$$\sum_{d^r | n} \mu(d) = \mu_r(n).$$

*Proof.* The function  $f_r$  is clearly multiplicative, so the function  $\mu_r$ , being the convolution product of two multiplicative functions is also a multiplicative function. Therefore, it suffices to show that

$$\mu_r(p^\alpha) = (1 * f_r)(p^\alpha)$$

for all prime powers  $p^\alpha$ . Indeed, one has

$$\begin{aligned} (1 * f_r)(p^\alpha) &= \sum_{\alpha=0}^r f_r(p^\alpha) = 1 + \sum_{\alpha=1}^r f_r(p^\alpha) \\ &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \alpha < r \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha \geq r \end{cases} \\ &= \mu_r(p^\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

□

We use the identity (5) and the formula (2) to give an asymptotic formula of the following sum

$$\sum_{k \leq x} \frac{1}{k^{s+1}} \sum_{j=1}^k j^s \mu_r(\gcd(j, k)).$$

Now, we can state our main result.

**Theorem 2.1.** *For any positive real number  $x > 1$  and any fixed positive integer  $s$ , we have*

$$\sum_{k \leq x} \frac{1}{k^{s+1}} \sum_{j=1}^k j^s \mu_r(\gcd(k, j)) = \frac{x}{(s+1)\zeta(2r)} + \frac{\log x}{2\zeta(r)} + L(r; s) - \frac{1}{s+1} \sum_{d^r \leq x} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^r} \psi\left(\frac{x}{d^r}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(x^{-1+\frac{1}{r}} \log x\right)$$

where

$$L(r; s) = \frac{1}{2(s+1)\zeta(r)} \left( (s+1) \left( \gamma - \frac{r\zeta'(r)}{\zeta(r)} \right) - 1 + 2 \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor} \binom{s+1}{2m} B_{2m} \zeta(2m) \right).$$

In order to prove Theorem 2.1, we firstly need to prove some lemmas.

**Lemma 2.2.** *For any real number  $x > 1$  and any fixed positive integer  $s$ , we have*

$$\sum_{k \leq x} \frac{1}{k^{s+1}} \sum_{j=1}^k j^s \mu_r(\gcd(k, j)) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu_r(n)}{n} + \frac{1}{s+1} \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{f_r(d)}{d} + \frac{1}{s+1} \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor} \binom{s+1}{2m} B_{2m} \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{f_r(d)}{d} \frac{1}{l^{2m}}. \tag{6}$$

*Proof.* By using the two formulas (1), (5); and by using the definition (4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} S_k(j) &= S_{f_r,1}(k,j) = \sum_{d|\gcd(k,j)} f_r(d) \\ &= \sum_{d^r|\gcd(k,j)} \mu(d) \\ &= \mu_r(\gcd(k,j)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{k \leq x} \frac{1}{k^{s+1}} \sum_{j=1}^k j^s S_{f_r,1}(k,j) = \sum_{k \leq x} \frac{1}{k^{s+1}} \sum_{j=1}^k j^s \mu_r(\gcd(k,j)).$$

On the other hand, the right side of (6) is a direct application of formula (2) when  $f = f_r$  and  $g = 1$ . □

**Lemma 2.3.** *For any  $x > 1$  and  $r \geq 2$ , we have*

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu_r(n)}{n} = \frac{\log x}{\zeta(r)} + \frac{\gamma}{\zeta(r)} - r \frac{\zeta'(r)}{\zeta^2(r)} + \mathcal{O}\left(x^{-1+\frac{1}{r}} \log x\right). \tag{7}$$

*Proof.* Using the identity (3), and the known formula

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{1}{n} = \log x + \gamma + \mathcal{O}(x^{-1}),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu_r(n)}{n} &= \sum_{n \leq x} \left( \frac{f_r}{Id} * \frac{1}{Id} \right) (n) \\ &= \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{f_r(d)}{d} \sum_{m \leq x/d} \frac{1}{m} \\ &= \sum_{d^r \leq x} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^r} \sum_{m \leq x/d^r} \frac{1}{m} \\ &= \log x \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^r} - r \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(d) \log d}{d^r} + \gamma \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^r} + A(x), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A(x) = \log x \sum_{d > x^{1/r}} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^r} - r \sum_{d > x^{1/r}} \frac{\mu(d) \log d}{d^r} + \gamma \sum_{d > x^{1/r}} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^r} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{d^r}{x} \sum_{d^r \leq x} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^r}\right).$$

By the known identity

$$\frac{1}{\zeta(r)} = \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^r},$$

where  $r > 1$ , we have

$$\frac{\zeta'(r)}{\zeta^2(r)} = \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(d) \log d}{d^r},$$

and by using the estimate

$$\sum_{n > x} \frac{1}{n^r} = \mathcal{O}(x^{-1+r}),$$

where  $r > 1$ , we get

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu_r(n)}{n} = \frac{\log x}{\zeta(r)} + \frac{\gamma}{\zeta(r)} - r \frac{\zeta'(r)}{\zeta^2(r)} + \mathcal{O}\left(x^{-1+\frac{1}{r}} \log x\right).$$

□

**Lemma 2.4.** *For any  $x > 1$  and  $r \geq 2$ , we have*

$$\sum_{d \leq x} \frac{f_r(d)}{d} = \frac{x}{\zeta(2r)} - \sum_{d^r \leq x} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^r} \psi\left(\frac{x}{d^r}\right) - \frac{1}{2\zeta(r)} + \mathcal{O}\left(x^{\frac{1}{r}-1}\right). \tag{8}$$

*Proof.* For  $x > 1$  and  $r \geq 2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{f_r(d)}{d} &= \sum_{d^r l \leq x} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^r} \\ &= \sum_{d^r \leq x} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^r} \sum_{l \leq x/d^r} 1 \\ &= \sum_{d^r \leq x} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^r} \left\lfloor \frac{x}{d^r} \right\rfloor, \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that

$$\psi(x) = x - [x] - \frac{1}{2},$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{f_r(d)}{d} &= x \sum_{d^r \leq x} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^{2r}} - \sum_{d^r \leq x} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^r} \psi\left(\frac{x}{d^r}\right) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{d^r \leq x} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^r} \\ &= \frac{x}{\zeta(2r)} - \sum_{d^r \leq x} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^r} \psi\left(\frac{x}{d^r}\right) - \frac{1}{2\zeta(r)} + \mathcal{O}\left(x^{\frac{1-r}{r}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 2.5.** For any  $x > 1$  and for the two fixed integers  $r \geq 2$ ,  $m \geq 0$ , we have

$$\sum_{d \leq x} \frac{f_r(d)}{d} \frac{1}{l^{2m}} = \frac{\zeta(2m)}{\zeta(r)} + \mathcal{O}\left(x^{-1+1/r}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(x^{-2m/r+1/r}\right). \tag{9}$$

*Proof.* For  $x > 1$  and  $r \geq 2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{f_r(d)}{d} \frac{1}{l^{2m}} &= \sum_{d^r l \leq x} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^r} \frac{1}{l^{2m}} \\ &= \sum_{d \leq x^{1/r}} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^r} \sum_{l \leq x^{1/r}/d} \frac{1}{l^{2m}} \\ &= \sum_{d \leq x^{1/r}} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^r} \left( \zeta(2m) + \mathcal{O}\left(\left(\frac{x^{1/r}}{d}\right)^{1-2m}\right) \right) \\ &= \frac{\zeta(2m)}{\zeta(r)} + \mathcal{O}\left(x^{-1+1/r}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(x^{-2m/r+1/r}\right). \end{aligned}$$

□

Now, we are ready to prove the main result of this note.

*Proof of Theorem 2.1.* By substituting formulas (7), (8), (9) in (6), we obtain

$$\sum_{k \leq x} \frac{1}{k^{s+1}} \sum_{j=1}^k j^s \mu_r(\gcd(k, j)) = \frac{x}{(s+1)\zeta(2r)} + \frac{\log x}{2\zeta(r)} + L(r; s) - \frac{1}{s+1} \sum_{d^r \leq x} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^r} \psi\left(\frac{x}{d^r}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(x^{-1+1/r} \log x\right)$$

where

$$L(r; s) = \frac{1}{2(s+1)\zeta(r)} \left( (s+1) \left( \gamma - \frac{r\zeta'(r)}{\zeta(r)} \right) - 1 + 2 \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor} \binom{s+1}{2m} B_{2m} \zeta(2m) \right).$$

Since for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , it holds that  $|\psi(t)| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , we see that the absolute value of the  $\psi$ -sum is not greater than  $\frac{\zeta(r)}{4\zeta(2r)}$  and

$$\frac{\zeta(r)}{4\zeta(2r)} \leq \frac{5}{4\pi^2} < \frac{2}{5}.$$

Also, using Euler’s formula

$$\zeta(2m) = (-1)^{m+1} 2^{2m-1} \frac{\pi^{2m}}{(2m)!} B_{2m}$$

we write

$$2 \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor} \binom{s+1}{2m} B_{2m} \zeta(2m) = \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor} \binom{s+1}{2m} (-1)^{m+1} 2^{2m} \frac{\pi^{2m}}{(2m)!} B_{2m}^2.$$

□

## Acknowledgments

We thank the anonymous referees for reading this paper carefully and for their valuable suggestions and corrections, which led to a number of improvements in the paper. We also express our gratitude to Olivier Bordellès for his helpful comments on the earlier version of this paper.

## References

- [1] D. R. Anderson, T. M. Apostol, The evaluation of Ramanujan's sum and generalizations, *Duke Math. J.* **20** (1952) 211–216.
- [2] T. M. Apostol, Arithmetical properties of generalized Ramanujan sums, *Pacific J. Math.* **41** (1972) 281–293.
- [3] K. A. Broughan, The average order of the Dirichlet series of the gcd-sum function, *J. Integer Seq.* **10** (2007) Art# 07.4.2.
- [4] O. Bordellès, The composition of the gcd and certain arithmetic functions, *J. Integer Seq.* **13** (2010) Art# 10.7.1.
- [5] O. Bordellès, *Arithmetic Tales*, Springer, Heidelberg, 2012.
- [6] K. R. Johnson, An explicit formula for sums of Ramanujan type sums, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **18** (1987) 675–677.
- [7] I. Kiuchi, Sums of averages of gcd-sum functions, *J. Number Theory* **176** (2017) 449–472.
- [8] I. Kiuchi, On sums of averages of generalized Ramanujan sums, *Tokyo J. Math.* **40** (2017) 255–275.
- [9] I. Kiuchi, M. Minamidem, M. Ueda, Averages of Anderson–Apostol sums, *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.* **31** (2016) 339–357.