# Research Article Canonical form for the zero-one matrix induced by the Markov map

#### Yoshifumi Takenouchi\*

Division of Mathematics and Computer Sciences, College of Natural and Applied Sciences, University of Guam, UOG Station Mangilao, Guam, USA

(Received: 15 February 2025. Received in revised form: 8 March 2025. Accepted: 10 March 2025. Published online: 17 March 2025.)

© 2025 the author. This is an open-access article under the CC BY (International 4.0) license (www.creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

#### Abstract

A condition ensuring the invariance of the "digital" entropy of a cyclic permutation under refinement of the interval partition is established. Also, a "canonical form" for the 0-1 matrix induced by a Markov map is introduced, which provides a structured representation that captures and elucidates the dynamics of the map on the interval.

**Keywords:** "digital" entropy; canonical form; 0-1 matrix; Markov maps; spectral radius; cyclic permutations; characteristic polynomial.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 15A21, 37E05.

## 1. Introduction

For any given permutation  $\theta$  of m+1 objects, we define the canonical  $\theta$ -linear map  $L_{\theta}$ , also known as the "connect-the-dots" map of  $\theta$ . This map  $L_{\theta} : I \to I$  is defined such that  $L_{\theta} = \theta$  on the discrete set  $T = \{0, 1, \ldots, m\}$  and is linear on each subinterval  $I_i = (i, i+1)$  for  $i = 0, 1, \ldots, m-1$ , where I = [0, m]. Together,  $L_{\theta}$  and T induce a 0-1 matrix A of size m. In [3], Fisher demonstrated that if  $\theta$  is a cyclic permutation of length m + 1, then the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial det  $(\lambda I_m - A)$  of A must all be odd. Also, in [4], Swanson and Volkmer demonstrated that if  $\theta$  is a unimodal cyclic permutation, then every coefficient of the characteristic polynomial det  $(\lambda I_m - A)$  of A must be either -1 or 1. Then, in [5], the present author introduced the concept of "digital" entropy,  $T(\theta)$ , for a unimodal cyclic permutation  $\theta$ , defined as

$$T(\theta) = .\tau(\varepsilon_1) \tau(\varepsilon_2) \tau(\varepsilon_3) \cdots$$

where the function  $\tau(\varepsilon_i)$  is given as follows:

$$\tau \left( \varepsilon_{i} \right) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if} \quad i < m+1 \text{ and } \varepsilon_{i} = -1, \\ 0 & \text{if} \quad i < m+1 \text{ and } \varepsilon_{i} = +1, \\ 1 & \text{if} \quad i \ge m+1. \end{cases}$$

Here, the coefficients  $\varepsilon_i$  are derived from the characteristic polynomial of *A*, expressed as:

$$\det \left(\lambda I_m - A\right) = \lambda^m + \varepsilon_1 \lambda^{m-1} + \varepsilon_2 \lambda^{m-2} + \dots + \varepsilon_{m-1} \lambda + \varepsilon_m.$$

Our primary concern is whether the "digital" entropy  $T(\theta)$  of  $\theta$  remains invariant under any refinement S of T. This question is addressed through an examination of the behavior of elements in  $S \setminus T$  under  $L_{\theta}$ . Propositions 2.1 and 2.2 of this article suggest that  $T(\theta)$  is preserved if all elements in  $S \setminus T$  converge to T under  $L_{\theta}$ ; otherwise, it is not necessarily preserved.

On the other hand, in [2], Byers and Boyarsky demonstrated that the spectral radii of two matrices, A and Z, induced by f with T and by f with S, respectively, are the same. Here, f is any given piecewise-continuous Markov map with respect to the partition point T, and S is any refinement of T. The propositions presented in this article build upon and provide further details of the work done in [2]. In the proofs of these propositions, we use  $V_{\ell}$ , a matrix of change of bases, which is given in [3]. Compared to Z, both  $V_{\ell}ZV_{\ell}^{-1}$  and  $PV_{\ell}ZV_{\ell}^{-1}P^{-1}$  offer much more insight into the dynamics of f on S, where P is a proper permutation matrix. In this context, the matrix  $PV_{\ell}ZV_{\ell}^{-1}P^{-1}$ , introduced in this article, can be seen as a "canonical form" of the 0-1 matrix Z induced by the given Markov map f with respect to the set S.

<sup>\*</sup>E-mail addresses: takenouchiy@triton.uog.edu, jytakenovich@gmail.com, jytakenovich2006@yahoo.co.jp

#### 2. Statements of the main results

We begin by presenting a few definitions that are used in the rest of this article. Most of these concepts are well-established in the literature, and we refer the reader primarily to [1, 2, 5] for their origins and context.

**Definition 2.1.** Let I = [0,m] be a closed interval, and let  $T = \{0, 1, ..., m\}$  be a set of partition points of I. Consider  $f: I \to I$ , a piecewise-continuous Markov map with respect to the partition points T. Specifically, f satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) f is strictly monotonic and continuous on each subinterval  $I_i = (i, i+1)$  for i = 0, 1, ..., m-1;
- (ii) The following limits exist and are elements of T:

$$f(0^{+}) = \lim_{x \to 0^{+}} f(x),$$
  

$$f(i^{+}) = \lim_{x \to i^{+}} f(x) \text{ and } f(i^{-}) = \lim_{x \to i^{-}} f(x) \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, m-1, \text{ and}$$
  

$$f(m^{-}) = \lim_{x \to m^{-}} f(x).$$

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $A = [a_{ij}]_{m \times m}$  be the 0-1 matrix induced by f with T. Let  $S = T \cup U$ , where  $U = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n\}$  satisfies  $0 < u_1 < u_2 < \cdots < u_n < m$ ,  $T \cap U = \phi$ , and S is a partition of the interval [0, m]. Additionally, S is a refinement of T (that is,  $T \subset S$ ), and f with S induces a 0-1 matrix  $Z = [z_{ij}]_{(m+n)\times(m+n)}$ . We define the length from  $u \in U$  to T under f to be k, where  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  is the smallest positive integer such that  $f^0(u), f^1(u), \ldots, f^{k-1}(u) \in U$  and  $f^k(u) \in T$ .

**Definition 2.3.** Let J be a subinterval on which f is strictly monotonic. We denote J by  $J^+$  (respectively,  $J^-$ ) if f is monotone increasing (respectively, monotone decreasing). The sign of the slope of the tangent line to y = f(x) at (u, f(u)) is defined as positive (respectively, negative) if u is contained in a subinterval  $J^+$  (respectively,  $J^-$ ). In this case, we write sgn(f'(u)) = +1 (respectively, sgn(f'(u)) = -1), noting that f is not necessarily differentiable at u. We say that  $U = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n\}$  forms the orbit of a (+)-signed n-cycle (respectively, a (-)-signed n-cycle) if  $U = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n\} = \{f^s(u_i) \mid s \ge 0\}$  for any  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ , and  $\prod_{i=1}^{n} sgn(f'(u_i)) = +1$  (respectively,  $\prod_{i=1}^{n} sgn(f'(u_i)) = -1$ ).

Now, we are ready to state the main results of this article.

**Proposition 2.1.** Suppose that U consists of n points  $u_1, u_2, ..., u_n$  such that the length from every  $u_i$  to T under f is a finite value  $k_i \in \mathbb{N}$ , for i = 1, 2, ..., n. Then, the characteristic polynomial  $Ch_Z(\lambda)$  of Z is expressed as the product of the characteristic polynomial  $Ch_A(\lambda)$  of A and  $\lambda^n$ , that is,

$$Ch_{Z}(\lambda) = Ch_{A}(\lambda) \lambda^{n}$$

**Proposition 2.2.** Suppose that U consists of the orbit of a  $(\pm)$ -signed *n*-cycle. Then,

$$Ch_{Z}(\lambda) = Ch_{A}(\lambda) (\lambda^{n} \mp 1).$$

As a corollary of Proposition 2.1, we obtain the following result:

**Corollary 2.1.** Let  $\theta$  be any given unimodal cyclic permutation with length m + 1, and let  $T(\theta)$  denote the digital entropy of  $\theta$ . Then  $T(\theta)$  remains invariant under a refinement of the partition of the interval if all n newly added points are eventually periodic points that converge to T, the orbit of the (m + 1)-cycle of type  $\theta$ .

**Proof.** Let  $Ch_A(\lambda) = \lambda^m + \varepsilon_1 \lambda^{m-1} + \varepsilon_2 \lambda^{m-2} + \cdots + \varepsilon_{m-1} \lambda + \varepsilon_m$ . Then  $T(\theta) = .\tau(\varepsilon_1) \tau(\varepsilon_2) \tau(\varepsilon_3) \cdots$ . On the other hand, by Proposition 2.1,

$$Ch_{Z}(\lambda) = Ch_{A}(\lambda) \ \lambda^{n} = \lambda^{m+n} + \varepsilon_{1}\lambda^{m-1+n} + \varepsilon_{2}\lambda^{m-2+n} + \dots + \varepsilon_{m-1}\lambda^{1+n} + \varepsilon_{m}\lambda^{n}.$$

Thus,  $T(\theta)$ , as computed from  $Ch_Z(\lambda)$ , is also  $\tau(\varepsilon_1) \tau(\varepsilon_2) \tau(\varepsilon_3) \cdots$ .

#### 3. A lemma

In [3], Fisher introduces a matrix of change of bases, defined as follows:

**Definition 3.1.** For any  $\ell \ge 2$ , let  $V_{\ell}$  be the  $\ell \times \ell$  matrix with 1's on and above the diagonal and 0's below the diagonal. Then  $V_{\ell}^{-1}$  is the inverse of  $V_{\ell}$ , and it has 1's on the diagonal, -1's on the super-diagonal, and 0's elsewhere.

It is evident that  $V_{\ell}$  satisfies the properties given in the next lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let M be any given  $\ell \times \ell$  square matrix. Then the following statements hold.

- (i) The *i*-th row of  $V_{\ell}M$  is the sum of the *i*-th row, the (i + 1)-th row, ..., and the  $\ell$ -th row of M, for any  $1 \le i \le \ell$ . In particular:
  - (ii) If the *j*-th column and the (j + 1)-th column of M are identical, then the *j*-th column and the (j + 1)-th column of  $V_{\ell}M$  are also identical, for any  $1 \le j \le \ell 1$ .
- (iii) The 1st column of  $MV_{\ell}^{-1}$  is the 1st column of M, and the *j*-th column of  $MV_{\ell}^{-1}$  is the negative of the (j-1)-th column of M plus the *j*-th column of M, for any  $2 \le j \le \ell$ . In particular:
  - (iv) If the (j-1)-th column and the *j*-th column of *M* are identical, then the *j*-th column of  $MV_{\ell}^{-1}$  is the zero column vector  $\mathbf{0}_{\ell \times 1}$ , for any  $2 \le j \le \ell$ .

Using Lemma 3.1, we proceed to prove Proposition 2.1 and Proposition 2.2 in the subsequent sections.

#### 4. Proof of Proposition 2.1

**Proof.** Let I = [0,m],  $T = \{0,1,\ldots,m\}$ , and  $f : I \to I$  be a piecewise-continuous Markov map with respect to T. Let  $A = [a_{ij}]_{m \times m}$  be the 0-1 matrix induced by f with T. Then,  $Ch_A(\lambda) = Ch_A(\lambda) \lambda^0$  is obviously true. We reorder the n points  $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n$  in U by their distance to T, and relabel them as follows:

$$\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\} = \{u_{(1,1)}, u_{(1,2)}, \dots, u_{(1,k_1)}, u_{(2,1)}, u_{(2,2)}, \dots, u_{(2,k_2)}, \dots\}$$

where  $u_{(l,1)}, u_{(l,2)}, \ldots, u_{(l,k_l)}$  are the  $k_l$  points at distance l from T, for  $l = 1, 2, \ldots$ , and  $k_1 + k_2 + \cdots = n$ . We then relabel the points as follows:

$$u_{(1,1)}, u_{(1,2)}, \dots, u_{(1,k_1)}, u_{(2,1)}, u_{(2,2)}, \dots, u_{(2,k_2)}, \dots$$

becoming

$$v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{k_1}, v_{k_1+1}, v_{k_1+2}, \ldots, v_{k_1+k_2}, \ldots$$

Let  $P = [p_{ij}]_{(m+k)\times(m+k)}$  be the 0-1 matrix induced by f with  $T \cup \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$ . Suppose that

$$Ch_P(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I_{m+k} - P) = Ch_A(\lambda) \lambda^k$$
 (inductive hypothesis).

Let  $Q = [q_{ij}]_{(m+k+1)\times(m+k+1)}$  be the 0-1 matrix induced by f with  $T \cup \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k, v_{k+1}\}$ . Denote  $T \cup \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$  as

$$\{w_0, w_1, \dots, w_{m+k+1}\}, \text{ where } 0 = w_0 < w_1 < \dots < w_{m+k+1} = m.$$

Since  $v_{k+1} \in (w_{i_{k+1}}, w_{i_{k+1}+1})$  for some  $0 \le i_{k+1} \le m+k$ ,  $v_{k+1}$  divides the subinterval  $(w_{i_{k+1}}, w_{i_{k+1}+1})$  into two new subintervals  $(w_{i_{k+1}}, v_{k+1})$  and  $(v_{k+1}, w_{i_{k+1}+1})$ . Therefore, the addition of the  $(i_{k+1} + 1)$ -th row and the  $(i_{k+1} + 2)$ -th row of Q corresponds to the  $(i_{k+1} + 1)$ -th row of P.

Also, since the *x*-coordinates of the intersection points of the horizontal line  $y = v_{k+1}$  and the graph y = f(x) are not in  $T \cup \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k, v_{k+1}\}$ , the  $(i_{k+1} + 1)$ -th column and the  $(i_{k+1} + 2)$ -th column of Q are identical, and both correspond to the  $(i_{k+1} + 1)$ -th column of P.

Thus, by Lemma 3.1.(i) and (ii), striking out the  $(i_{k+1}+2)$ -th row and the  $(i_{k+1}+2)$ -th column of  $V_{m+k+1}Q$  results in a matrix identical to  $V_{m+k}P$ . Additionally, the  $(i_{k+1}+1)$ -th column and the  $(i_{k+1}+2)$ -th column of  $V_{m+k+1}Q$  are identical.

Thus, by Lemma 3.1.(iii) and (iv), striking out the  $(i_{k+1}+2)$ -th row and  $(i_{k+1}+2)$ -th column of  $(V_{m+k+1}Q)V_{m+k+1}^{-1}$  results in a matrix identical to  $(V_{m+k}P)V_{m+k}^{-1}$ . Moreover, the  $(i_{k+1}+2)$ -th column of  $(V_{m+k}P)V_{m+k}^{-1}$  is the zero column vector.

Let  $P_{\sigma_{k+1}}$  be the permutation matrix of the cyclic permutation  $\sigma_{k+1}$ :

$$\sigma_{k+1} = (i_{k+1} + 2, i_{k+1} + 3, \dots, m+k+1)$$

represented as

$$\sigma_{k+1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & i_{k+1} + 1 & i_{k+1} + 2 & i_{k+1} + 3 & \cdots & m+k & m+k+1 \\ 1 & 2 & \cdots & i_{k+1} + 1 & i_{k+1} + 3 & i_{k+1} + 4 & \cdots & m+k+1 & i_{k+1} + 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using the cofactor expansion along the (m + k + 1)-th column and the inductive hypothesis, we obtain

$$Ch_Q(\lambda) = \det \left(\lambda I_{m+k+1} - P_{\sigma_{k+1}} \left(V_{m+k+1} Q V_{m+k+1}^{-1}\right) P_{\sigma_{k+1}}^{-1}\right)$$

$$= \left| \begin{array}{c} \lambda I_{m+k} - V_{m+k} P V_{m+k}^{-1} & \mathbf{0}_{(m+k)\times 1} \\ [* * \cdots *]_{1\times (m+k)} & \lambda \end{array} \right|_{(m+k+1)\times (m+k+1)}$$

$$= \det \left(\lambda I_{m+k} - V_{m+k} P V_{m+k}^{-1}\right) \lambda$$

$$= Ch_P(\lambda) \lambda$$

$$= (Ch_A(\lambda) \lambda^k) \lambda$$

$$= Ch_A(\lambda) \lambda^{k+1}.$$

Since both the base step and the inductive step have been proven, we conclude that, for any  $k \ge 0$ ,  $Ch_P(\lambda) = Ch_A(\lambda) \lambda^k$ , where  $P = [p_{ij}]_{(m+k)\times(m+k)}$  is the 0-1 matrix induced by f with  $T \cup \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$ . In particular,

$$Ch_{Z}\left(\lambda\right) = Ch_{A}\left(\lambda\right) \,\lambda^{n},$$

where  $Z = [z_{ij}]_{(m+n)\times(m+n)}$  is the 0-1 matrix induced by f with  $S = T \cup \{u_1, u_2, ..., u_n\} = T \cup \{v_1, v_2, ..., v_n\}.$ 

#### 5. **Proof of Proposition 2.2**

**Proof.** First, we relabel  $U = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$  as follows:

$$\{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n\} = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\},\$$

where  $f(v_1) = v_2$ ,  $f(v_2) = v_3$ , ...,  $f(v_{n-1}) = v_n$ , and  $f(v_n) = v_1$ . (For convenience, we may denote  $v_1$  as  $v_{n+1}$  if necessary). Then, the mapping  $f : [0, m] \rightarrow [0, m]$  with  $S = T \cup U$  induces the matrix

$$Z = \left\lfloor z_{ij} \right\rfloor_{(m+n) \times (m+n)}$$

Then,  $f(v_1) = v_2$  in the graph y = f(x) is expressed in *Z* as either

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ or } \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

at the  $i_1$ -th and  $(i_1 + 1)$ -th rows, and the  $i_2$ -th and  $(i_2 + 1)$ -th columns, respectively, if the slope of the graph y = f(x) at  $x = v_1$  is positive or negative, for some  $1 \le i_1, i_2 \le m + n - 1$ , and  $i_1$  may also be denoted as  $i_{n+1}$  if necessary.

Inductively,  $f(v_k) = v_{k+1}$  (k = 2, 3, ..., n-1) in the graph y = f(x) is expressed in Z as either

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
, or  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ,

\_ \_

at the  $i_k$ -th and  $(i_k + 1)$ -th rows, and the  $i_{k+1}$ -th and  $(i_{k+1} + 1)$ -th columns, respectively, if the slope of the graph y = f(x)at  $x = v_k$  is positive or negative, for some  $1 \le i_{k+1} \le m + n - 1$ .

Finally,  $f(v_n) = v_1$  in the graph y = f(x) is expressed in Z as either

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ or } \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

at the  $i_n$ -th and  $(i_n + 1)$ -th rows, and the  $i_1$ -th and  $(i_1 + 1)$ -th columns, respectively, if the slope of the graph y = f(x) at  $x = v_n$  is positive or negative.

We recall that the sign of the *n*-cycle is defined as the product of the signs of the slopes of the graph y = f(x) at  $x = v_k$ for k = 1, 2, ..., n. Notice that the *x*-coordinates of the intersection points of the horizontal line  $y = v_{k+1}$  and the graph y = f(x) are not in  $S = T \cup \{v_1, v_2, ..., v_n\}$ , except for the *x*-coordinate of the intersection point  $(v_k, v_{k+1}) = (v_k, f(v_k))$  for  $1 \le k \le n$ . Consequently, the  $i_{k+1}$ -th column and the  $(i_{k+1} + 1)$ -th column of *Z* are identical, except at the  $i_k$ -th row and the  $(i_k + 1)$ -th row. Thus, by Lemma 3.1.(i) and (ii), the  $i_{k+1}$ -th column and the  $(i_{k+1} + 1)$ -th column of  $V_{m+n}Z$  are identical, except at the  $(i_k + 1)$ -th rows. Notice that

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ or } \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

at the  $i_k$ -th and  $(i_k + 1)$ -th rows, and the  $i_{k+1}$ -th and  $(i_{k+1} + 1)$ -th columns in Z has been transformed into

$$\begin{bmatrix} p_k & p_k \\ p_k - 1 & p_k \end{bmatrix}$$
, or  $\begin{bmatrix} q_k & q_k \\ q_k & q_k - 1 \end{bmatrix}$ 

at the same position in  $V_{m+n}Z$ , for some  $p_k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  or for some  $q_k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , respectively.

Thus, by Lemma 3.1.(iii) and (iv), the  $(i_{k+1}+1)$ -th column of  $(V_{m+n}Z)V_{m+n}^{-1}$  is the zero column vector except at the  $(i_k+1)$ -th row. Notice that

$$\begin{bmatrix} p_k & p_k \\ p_k - 1 & p_k \end{bmatrix}, \text{ or } \begin{bmatrix} q_k & q_k \\ q_k & q_k - 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

at the  $i_k$ -th and  $(i_k + 1)$ -th rows, and the  $i_{k+1}$ -th and  $(i_{k+1} + 1)$ -th columns in  $V_{m+n}Z$  has been transformed into +1, or -1, at the  $(i_k + 1, i_{k+1} + 1)$ -th entry of  $(V_{m+n}Z) V_{m+n}^{-1}$ , respectively.

Let  $\{r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_m\} = \{1, 2, \ldots, m, \ldots, m+n\} \setminus \{i_1 + 1, i_2 + 1, \ldots, i_n + 1\}$  where  $r_1 < r_2 < \cdots < r_m$ . Let

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & m & m+1 & m+2 & \cdots & m+n \\ r_1 & r_2 & \cdots & r_m & i_1+1 & i_2+1 & \cdots & i_n+1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and let *P* be the permutation matrix corresponding to  $\sigma$ . Then

$$PV_{m+n}ZV_{m+n}^{-1}P^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} (\overline{Z}_{11})_{m \times m} & (\overline{Z}_{12})_{m \times n} \\ (\overline{Z}_{21})_{n \times m} & (\overline{Z}_{22})_{n \times n} \end{bmatrix}_{(m+n) \times (m+n)}$$

where

and

$$\left(\overline{Z}_{12}\right)_{m \times n} = O_{m \times n}$$

$$(\overline{Z}_{22})_{n \times n} = \begin{bmatrix} \omega_{11} & \omega_{12} & \cdots & \omega_{1n} \\ \omega_{21} & \omega_{22} & \cdots & \omega_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \omega_{n1} & \omega_{n2} & \cdots & \omega_{nn} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \pm 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \pm 1 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & \pm 1 \\ \pm 1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{n \times n}$$

where the sign of  $\omega_{(k)(k+1)}$  corresponds to the sign of the slope of the graph y = f(x) at  $x = v_k$  for  $1 \le k \le n-1$ , and the sign of  $\omega_{n1}$  corresponds to the sign of the slope of the graph y = f(x) at  $x = v_n$ .

Next, we consider  $(\overline{Z}_{11})_{m \times m}$ . First, notice that the (i, j)-th entry (where  $1 \le i, j \le m$ ) of  $V_m A$  is given by the number of intersection points of any horizontal line  $y = y_0$  where  $j - 1 < y_0 < j$  and the graph y = f(x) on [i - 1, m]. Similarly, the  $(r_i, r_j)$ -th entry of  $V_{m+n}Z$  is also given by the number of intersection points of any horizontal line  $y = y_0$  where  $j - 1 < y_0 < j$  and the graph y = f(x) on [i - 1, m]. Similarly, the and the graph y = f(x) on [i - 1, m]. Therefore, the (i, j)-th entry of  $V_m A$  is the same as the  $(r_i, r_j)$ -th entry of  $V_{m+n}Z$ .

Furthermore, if  $r_{j+1}$  is not directly after  $r_j$ , then the  $(r_i, r_j)$ -th entry, the  $(r_i, r_j + 1)$ -th entry, the  $(r_i, r_j + 2)$ -th entry, ..., the  $(r_i, r_{j+1} - 1)$ -th entry of  $V_{m+n}Z$  are all the same.

Thus, by Lemma 3.1.(iv), the (i, j)-th entry of  $(V_m A) V_m^{-1}$  is the same as the  $(r_i, r_j)$ -th entry of  $(V_{m+n}Z) V_{m+n}^{-1}$ . In other words,  $(V_{m+n}Z) V_{m+n}^{-1}$ , when excluding the  $(i_1 + 1)$ -th,  $(i_2 + 1)$ -th,  $\dots$ ,  $(i_n + 1)$ -th, rows and columns, is the same as  $(V_m A) V_m^{-1}$ . Therefore,

$$\left(\overline{Z}_{11}\right)_{m \times m} = V_m A V_m^{-1}.$$

Consequently, we obtain

$$Ch_{Z}(\lambda) = \det \left(\lambda I_{m+n} - Z\right)$$
  
=  $\det \left(\lambda I_{m+n} - PV_{m+n}ZV_{m+n}^{-1}P^{-1}\right)$   
=  $\begin{vmatrix} \lambda I_{m} - V_{m}AV_{m}^{-1} & O_{m \times n} \\ -(\overline{Z}_{21})_{n \times m} & \lambda I_{n} - (\overline{Z}_{22})_{n \times n} \end{vmatrix} \Big|_{(m+n) \times (m+n)}$   
=  $\det \left(\lambda I_{m} - V_{m}AV_{m}^{-1}\right) \det \left(\lambda I_{n} - (\overline{Z}_{22})_{n \times n}\right)$   
=  $Ch_{A}(\lambda) (\lambda^{n} \pm 1),$ 

where the sign of  $\pm 1$  depends on the sign of the *n*-cycle. Specifically, if the sign of the *n*-cycle is given as  $(-1)^{l} (+1)^{n-l}$  for some  $0 \le l \le n$ , where *l* is the number of negative slopes, then

$$\det \left(\lambda I_n - (\overline{Z}_{22})_{n \times n}\right) = \operatorname{sgn}(\iota) \ \lambda^n + \operatorname{sgn}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n-1 & n \\ 2 & 3 & \cdots & n & 1 \end{pmatrix} \ (+1)^l \ (-1)^{n-l}$$
$$= \lambda^n + (-1)^{n-1} \ (+1)^l \ (-1)^{n-l}$$
$$= \lambda^n + (-1)^{l+1}.$$

Here,  $(-1)^{l+1} = +1$  (respectively -1), if and only if l is odd (respectively, even), which corresponds to the sign of the *n*-cycle:  $(-1)^{l} (+1)^{n-l}$  being negative (respectively, positive).

This completes the proof of Proposition 2.2.

#### 6. Canonical form of the 0-1 matrix induced by the Markov map

By combining Proposition 2.1 and Proposition 2.2, we obtain the following result:

**Proposition 6.1.** Suppose that U consists of  $n_0$  points converging to T, and the remaining  $n - n_0$  in U consist of  $n_i$  of (-)-signed  $l_i$ -cycles (i = 1, ..., j), and  $n_i$  of (+)-signed  $l_i$ -cycles (i = j + 1, ..., k), where

$$n_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k n_i l_i = n$$

Then,

$$Ch_{Z}(\lambda) = Ch_{A}(\lambda) \ \lambda^{n_{0}} \prod_{i=1}^{j} \left(\lambda^{l_{i}}+1\right)^{n_{i}} \prod_{i=j+1}^{k} \left(\lambda^{l_{i}}-1\right)^{n_{i}}.$$

**Remark 6.1.** Conversely, consider a 0-1 matrix Z of size  $\ell$  induced by a Markov map f with  $S = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, \ell\}$ . Starting with Z, we decompose S into T and U. Naturally, T is chosen to include both endpoints 0 and  $\ell$  of the interval  $I = [0, \ell]$ , as well as every point where the slope of f is undefined, ensuring  $f(T) \subseteq T$ . The remaining points form  $U = S \setminus T$ . If necessary, U is further decomposed into subsets  $U_0, U_1, U_2, \dots$  by analyzing the dynamics of f on U. Through this decomposition of S into T,  $U_0, U_1, U_2, \dots$ , a proper permutation  $\sigma$  and its corresponding permutation matrix P are obtained. With these, we construct  $PV_{\ell}ZV_{\ell}^{-1}P^{-1}$ . While Z and  $PV_{\ell}ZV_{\ell}^{-1}P^{-1}$  are similar matrices, the latter provides a far richer representation of the dynamics of f on S. In this sense,  $PV_{\ell}ZV_{\ell}^{-1}P^{-1}$ , as introduced above, can be regarded as a "canonical form" of the 0-1 matrix associated with the given Markov map f and the set S.

## References

<sup>[1]</sup> G. Baldwin, Generalizations of a theorem of Sarkovskii on the orbit of continuous real-valued functions, Discrete Math. 67(2) (1987) 111-127.

<sup>[2]</sup> W. Byers, A. Boyarsky, Markov maps and the spectral radius of 0-1 matrices, SIAM J. Algebraic Disc. Methods 8(3) (1987) 364-374.

<sup>[3]</sup> D. C. Fisher, Characteristic polynomials of Straffin digraphs, Linear Algebra Appl. 218 (1995) 103–111.

<sup>[4]</sup> R. Swanson, H. Volkmer, Invariants of weak equivalence in primitive matrices, Ergod. Theory Dyn. Syst. 20(2) (2000) 611–626.

<sup>[5]</sup> Y. Takenouchi, "Digital" entropy induced by unimodal cyclic permutations, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. 41(2) (2018) 565–595.