

Research Article

Largest and smallest eigenvalues of matrices and some Hamiltonian properties of graphs

Rao Li*

Department of Computer Science, Engineering, and Mathematics, University of South Carolina Aiken, Aiken, SC 29801, USA

(Received: 6 August 2024. Received in revised form: 22 October 2024. Accepted: 24 October 2024. Published online: 25 October 2024.)

© 2024 the author. This is an open-access article under the CC BY (International 4.0) license (www.creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Abstract

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph. Define $M(G; \alpha, \beta) := \alpha D + \beta A$, where D and A are the diagonal matrix and adjacency matrix of G , respectively, and α, β , are real numbers such that $(\alpha, \beta) \neq (0, 0)$. Using the largest and smallest eigenvalues of $M(G; \alpha, \beta)$ with $\alpha \geq \beta > 0$, sufficient conditions for the Hamiltonian and traceable graphs are presented.

Keywords: matrix; largest eigenvalue; Hamiltonian graph; traceable graph.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C45, 05C50.

1. Introduction

We consider only finite undirected graphs without loops and multiple edges. Notation and terminology not defined here follow those in [1]. For a graph $G = (V(G), E(G))$, we use n and e to denote its order and size, respectively. The minimum degree and maximum degree of G are denoted by $\delta(G)$ and $\Delta(G)$, respectively. We use $N(u)$ to denote the set of all vertices adjacent to u in G . A set of vertices in a graph G is independent if the vertices in the set are pairwise nonadjacent. A maximum independent set in a graph G is an independent set with the largest possible size. The independence number, denoted as $\gamma(G)$, of a graph G is the cardinality of a maximum independent set in G . For disjoint vertex subsets X and Y of $V(G)$, we define $E(X, Y)$ as $\{f : f = xy \in E, x \in X, y \in Y\}$. A cycle C in a graph G is said to be a Hamiltonian cycle of G if C contains all the vertices of G . A graph G is called Hamiltonian if G has a Hamiltonian cycle. A path P in a graph G is said to be a Hamiltonian path of G if P contains all the vertices of G . A graph G is called traceable if G has a Hamiltonian path.

For a graph G , we define $M(G; \alpha, \beta) := \alpha D + \beta A$, where D and A are the diagonal matrix and adjacency matrix of G , respectively, and α, β , are real numbers such that $(\alpha, \beta) \neq (0, 0)$. If $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta = 1$ (respectively, $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 1$), then $M(G; \alpha, \beta)$ is the same as the adjacency matrix (respectively, the signless Laplacian matrix) of G . Thus, $M(G; \alpha, \beta)$ is a generalization of both adjacency matrix and signless Laplacian matrix of G . We use $\lambda_{\alpha, \beta; 1}, \lambda_{\alpha, \beta; 2}, \dots, \lambda_{\alpha, \beta; n}$ to denote the eigenvalues of $M(G; \alpha, \beta)$ and assume that $\lambda_{\alpha, \beta; 1} \geq \lambda_{\alpha, \beta; 2} \geq \dots \geq \lambda_{\alpha, \beta; n}$. Since $M(G; \alpha, \beta)$ is symmetric, its eigenvalues $\lambda_{\alpha, \beta; 1}, \lambda_{\alpha, \beta; 2}, \dots, \lambda_{\alpha, \beta; n}$ are real numbers. In this article, using the largest and smallest eigenvalues of $M(G; \alpha, \beta)$ with $\alpha \geq \beta > 0$, we present sufficient conditions for the Hamiltonian and traceable graphs. Now, we state the main results of the present article.

Theorem 1.1. *Let G be a k -connected graph with $n \geq 3$ vertices and e edges, where $k \geq 2$. Let $\alpha \geq \beta > 0$. Set $\lambda_1 := \lambda_{\alpha, \beta; 1}$ and $\lambda_n := \lambda_{\alpha, \beta; n}$.*

(i). *If the inequality*

$$\lambda_1 \leq (\alpha + \beta) \sqrt{\frac{(k+1)\delta^2}{n} + \frac{e^2}{n(n-k-1)}}$$

holds then G is Hamiltonian or G is $K_{k, k+1}$.

(ii). *If the inequality*

$$\lambda_n \geq (\alpha + \beta) \sqrt{\frac{(n-k-1)\Delta^2}{n} + \frac{e^2}{n(k+1)}}$$

holds then G is Hamiltonian or G is $K_{k, k+1}$.

*E-mail address: RaoL@usca.edu

Theorem 1.2. Let G be a k -connected graph with $n \geq 9$ vertices and e edges, where $k \geq 1$. Let $\alpha \geq \beta > 0$. Set $\lambda_1 := \lambda_{\alpha,\beta;1}$ and $\lambda_n := \lambda_{\alpha,\beta;n}$.

(i). If the inequality

$$\lambda_1 \leq (\alpha + \beta) \sqrt{\frac{(k + 2)\delta^2}{n} + \frac{e^2}{n(n - k - 2)}},$$

holds then G is traceable or G is $K_{k, k+2}$.

(ii). If the inequality

$$\lambda_n \geq (\alpha + \beta) \sqrt{\frac{(n - k - 2)\Delta^2}{n} + \frac{e^2}{n(k + 2)}},$$

holds then G is traceable or G is $K_{k, k+2}$.

2. Lemmas

This section gives the known results that are used to prove Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2.

Lemma 2.1 (see [2]). Let G be a k -connected graph of order $n \geq 3$. If $\gamma \leq k$, then G is Hamiltonian.

Lemma 2.2 (see [2]). Let G be a k -connected graph of order n . If $\gamma \leq k + 1$, then G is traceable.

Lemma 2.3 (see [6]). Let G be a balanced bipartite graph of order $2n$ with bipartition (A, B) . If $d(x) + d(y) \geq n + 1$ for any $x \in A$ and any $y \in B$ with $xy \notin E$, then G is Hamiltonian.

Lemma 2.4 (see [4]). Let G be a 2-connected bipartite graph with bipartition (A, B) , where $|A| \geq |B|$. If each vertex in A has degree at least s and each vertex in B has degree at least t , then G contains a cycle of length at least $2 \min(|B|, s + t - 1, 2s - 2)$.

The following result is the well-known Rayleigh-Ritz theorem:

Lemma 2.5 (see the theorem on Page 176 in [3]). Let M be an $n \times n$ Hermitian matrix with the largest eigenvalue λ_1 and the smallest eigenvalue λ_n . Suppose X is any non-zero n -dimensional row vector. Then

$$\lambda_1 \geq \frac{XMX^*}{XX^*} \geq \lambda_n,$$

where X^* is the transpose conjugate of X .

3. Proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let G be a k -connected ($k \geq 2$) graph with $n \geq 3$ vertices and e edges. Suppose G is not Hamiltonian. Then Lemma 2.1 implies that $\gamma \geq k + 1$. Also, we have that $n \geq 2\delta + 1 \geq 2k + 1$, otherwise $\delta \geq k \geq n/2$ and G is Hamiltonian. Let $I_1 := \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_\gamma\}$ be a maximum independent set in G . Then $I := \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{k+1}\}$ is an independent set in G . Thus,

$$\sum_{u \in I} d(u) = |E(I, V - I)| \leq \sum_{v \in V - I} d(v).$$

Since

$$\sum_{u \in I} d(u) + \sum_{v \in V - I} d(v) = 2e,$$

we have that

$$\sum_{u \in I} d(u) \leq e \leq \sum_{v \in V - I} d(v).$$

Let $V - I = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n-(k+1)}\}$. From Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\sum_{r=1}^{n-(k+1)} 1^2 \sum_{r=1}^{n-(k+1)} d^2(v_r) \geq \left(\sum_{r=1}^{n-(k+1)} d(v_r) \right)^2 \geq e^2.$$

Consequently, it holds that

$$\sum_{v \in V-I} d^2(v) \geq \frac{e^2}{n-k-1}.$$

Therefore,

$$M := (k+1)\delta^2 + \frac{e^2}{n-k-1} \leq \sum_{u \in I} d^2(u) + \sum_{v \in V-I} d^2(v) = \sum_{v \in V} d^2(v)$$

with equality if and only if $d(u) = \delta$ for each $u \in I$, $\sum_{v \in V-I} d(v) = e$ (implying $\sum_{u \in I} d(u) = e$ and thereby G is bipartite with partition sets of I and $V - I$), and $\Delta = d(v)$ for each $v \in V - I$.

From Cauchy-Schwarz inequality again, we have

$$\sum_{r=1}^{k+1} 1^2 \sum_{r=1}^{k+1} d^2(u_r) \leq \left(\sum_{r=1}^{k+1} d(u_r) \right)^2 \leq e^2.$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{u \in I} d^2(u) \leq \frac{e^2}{k+1}.$$

Therefore,

$$N := \frac{e^2}{k+1} + (n-k-1)\Delta^2 \geq \sum_{u \in I} d^2(u) + \sum_{v \in V-I} d^2(v) = \sum_{v \in V} d^2(v)$$

with equality if and only if $d(v) = \Delta$ for each $v \in V - I$, $\sum_{u \in I} d(u) = e$ (implying $\sum_{v \in V-I} d(v) = e$ and thereby G is bipartite with partition sets of I and $V - I$), and $\delta = d(u)$ for each $u \in I$.

For any real row vector $X = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, we have

$$XM(G; \alpha, \beta)X^T = (\alpha - \beta) \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 + \beta \sum_{uv \in E} (d(u) + d(v))^2 \geq 0,$$

where X^T is the transpose of X . Thus, $M(G; \alpha, \beta)$ is positive semidefinite and therefore,

$$\lambda_1 = \lambda_{\alpha, \beta; 1} \geq \lambda_{\alpha, \beta; 2} \geq \dots \geq \lambda_{\alpha, \beta; n} = \lambda_n \geq 0.$$

Hence $\lambda_1^2 = \lambda_{\alpha, \beta; 1}^2 \geq \lambda_{\alpha, \beta; 2}^2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_{\alpha, \beta; n}^2 = \lambda_n^2 \geq 0$ are the eigenvalues of $M^2(G; \alpha, \beta)$.

Since $M^2(G; \alpha, \beta) = \alpha^2 D^2 + \alpha\beta DA + \alpha\beta AD + \beta^2 A^2$, the sum of all the entries in the u th row of $M^2(G; \alpha, \beta)$ is equal to the sum of all the entries in the u th rows of $\alpha^2 D^2$, $\alpha\beta DA$, $\alpha\beta AD$, and $\beta^2 A^2$, where u is any vertex in G . Notice that the sums of all the entries of the u th rows of D^2 , DA , AD , and A^2 are equal to $d^2(u)$, $d^2(u)$, $\sum_{v \in N(u)} d(v)$, and $\sum_{v \in N(u)} d(v)$, respectively (see Page 805 in [5]). Hence, the sum of all the entries in the u th row, denoted as $RS(u)$, in $M^2(G; \alpha, \beta)$ is

$$\alpha(\alpha + \beta)d^2(u) + \beta(\alpha + \beta) \sum_{v \in N(u)} d(v).$$

Let $Y = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$ be an n -dimensional row vector. Applying Lemma 2.5 to $M^2(G; \alpha, \beta)$, we have

$$\lambda_1^2 \geq \frac{YM(G; \alpha, \beta)Y^*}{YY^*} \geq \lambda_n^2.$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} YM(G; \alpha, \beta)Y^* &= \sum_{u \in V} RS(u) \\ &= \alpha(\alpha + \beta) \sum_{u \in V} d^2(u) + \beta(\alpha + \beta) \sum_{u \in V} \sum_{v \in N(u)} d(v) \\ &= \alpha(\alpha + \beta) \sum_{u \in V} d^2(u) + \beta(\alpha + \beta) \sum_{u \in V} d^2(u) \\ &= (\alpha + \beta)^2 \sum_{u \in V} d^2(u). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the following chain of inequalities holds:

$$\lambda_1^2 \geq (\alpha + \beta)^2 \frac{\sum_{u \in V} d^2(u)}{n} \geq \lambda_n^2.$$

(i). From the given condition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha + \beta)^2 \left(\frac{(k+1)\delta^2}{n} + \frac{e^2}{n(n-k-1)} \right) &\geq \lambda_1^2 \\ &\geq (\alpha + \beta)^2 \frac{\sum_{u \in V} d^2(u)}{n} \\ &\geq (\alpha + \beta)^2 \frac{M}{n} \\ &= (\alpha + \beta)^2 \left(\frac{(k+1)\delta^2}{n} + \frac{e^2}{n(n-k-1)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, each of the above inequalities becomes an equality. Therefore, $d(u) = \delta$ for each $u \in I$, $\sum_{v \in V-I} d(v) = e$ (implying $\sum_{u \in I} d(u) = e$ and thereby G is bipartite with partition sets of I and $V - I$), and $\Delta = d(v)$ for each $v \in V - I$. Hence,

$$(k+1)\delta = |E(I, V - I)| = \Delta(n - k - 1) \geq \delta(n - k - 1).$$

Therefore, $2k + 2 \geq n \geq 2k + 1$. If $n = 2k + 2$, then $\delta = \Delta$. Lemma 2.3 implies G is Hamiltonian, a contradiction. If $n = 2k + 1$, then G is $K_{k, k+1}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1(i).

(ii). From the given condition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha + \beta)^2 \left(\frac{(n-k-1)\Delta^2}{n} + \frac{e^2}{n(k+1)} \right) &\leq \lambda_n^2 \\ &\leq (\alpha + \beta)^2 \frac{\sum_{u \in V} d^2(u)}{n} \\ &\leq (\alpha + \beta)^2 \frac{N}{n} \\ &= (\alpha + \beta)^2 \left(\frac{(n-k-1)\Delta^2}{n} + \frac{e^2}{n(k+1)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, each of the above inequalities becomes an equality. Therefore, $d(v) = \Delta$ for each $v \in V - I$, $\sum_{u \in I} d(u) = e$ (implying $\sum_{v \in V-I} d(v) = e$ and thereby G is bipartite with partition sets of I and $V - I$), and $\delta = d(u)$ for each $u \in I$. Hence,

$$(k+1)\delta = |E(I, V - I)| = \Delta(n - k - 1) \geq \delta(n - k - 1).$$

Therefore, $2k + 2 \geq n \geq 2k + 1$. If $n = 2k + 2$, then $\delta = \Delta$. Lemma 2.3 implies that G is Hamiltonian, which is a contradiction. If $n = 2k + 1$, then G is $K_{k, k+1}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1(ii). \square

Although the proof of Theorem 1.2 is similar to the proof of Theorem 1.1, we present here a proof of Theorem 1.2 for the sake of completeness.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let G be a k -connected ($k \geq 1$) graph with $n \geq 9$ vertices and e edges. Suppose that G is not traceable. Then, Lemma 2.2 implies that $\gamma \geq k + 2$. Also, we have that $n \geq 2\delta + 2 \geq 2k + 2$, otherwise $\delta \geq k \geq (n - 1)/2$ and G is traceable. Using the ideas in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we have an independent set I of size $k + 2$ in G such that

$$M_1 := (k+2)\delta^2 + \frac{e^2}{n-k-2} \leq \sum_{u \in I} d^2(u) + \sum_{v \in V-I} d^2(v) = \sum_{v \in V} d^2(v)$$

with equality if and only if $d(u) = \delta$ for each $u \in I$, $\sum_{v \in V-I} d(v) = e$ (implying $\sum_{u \in I} d(u) = e$ and thereby G is bipartite with partition sets of I and $V - I$), and $\Delta = d(v)$ for each $v \in V - I$, and

$$N_1 := \frac{e^2}{k+2} + (n-k-2)\Delta^2 \geq \sum_{u \in I} d^2(u) + \sum_{v \in V-I} d^2(v) = \sum_{v \in V} d^2(v)$$

with equality if and only if $d(v) = \Delta$ for each $v \in V - I$, $\sum_{u \in I} d(u) = e$ (implying $\sum_{v \in V-I} d(v) = e$ and thereby G is bipartite with partition sets of I and $V - I$), and $\delta = d(u)$ for each $u \in I$.

Using the ideas in the proof of Theorem 1.1 again, we have the following chain of inequalities:

$$\lambda_1^2 \geq (\alpha + \beta)^2 \frac{\sum_{u \in V} d^2(u)}{n} \geq \lambda_n^2.$$

(i). By the given condition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha + \beta)^2 \left(\frac{(k + 2)\delta^2}{n} + \frac{e^2}{n(n - k - 2)} \right) &\geq \lambda_1^2 \\ &\geq (\alpha + \beta)^2 \frac{\sum_{u \in V} d^2(u)}{n} \\ &\geq (\alpha + \beta)^2 \frac{M_1}{n} \\ &= (\alpha + \beta)^2 \left(\frac{(k + 2)\delta^2}{n} + \frac{e^2}{n(n - k - 2)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, each of the above inequalities becomes an equality. Therefore, $d(u) = \delta$ for each $u \in I$, $\sum_{v \in V - I} d(v) = e$ (implying $\sum_{u \in I} d(u) = e$ and thereby G is bipartite with partition sets of I and $V - I$), and $\Delta = d(v)$ for each $v \in V - I$. Hence,

$$(k + 2)\delta = |E(I, V - I)| = \Delta(n - k - 2) \geq \delta(n - k - 2).$$

Thus, $2k + 4 \geq n \geq 2k + 2$. Consequently, we have $n = 2k + 4$, $n = 2k + 3$, or $n = 2k + 2$. If $n = 2k + 4 \geq 9$, then $\delta = \Delta$ and $k \geq 3$. Lemma 2.3 implies that G is Hamiltonian and thereby G is traceable, which is a contradiction. If $n = 2k + 3 \geq 9$, then $k \geq 3$. Lemma 2.4 implies that G has a cycle of length at least $(n - 1)$. Hence, G is traceable, which is again a contradiction. If $n = 2k + 2$, then G is $K_{k, k+2}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2(i).

(ii). From the given condition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha + \beta)^2 \left(\frac{(n - k - 2)\Delta^2}{n} + \frac{e^2}{n(k + 2)} \right) &\leq \lambda_n^2 \\ &\leq (\alpha + \beta)^2 \frac{\sum_{u \in V} d^2(u)}{n} \\ &\leq (\alpha + \beta)^2 \frac{N_1}{n} \\ &= (\alpha + \beta)^2 \left(\frac{(n - k - 2)\Delta^2}{n} + \frac{e^2}{n(k + 2)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, each of the above inequalities becomes an equality. Therefore, $d(v) = \Delta$ for each $v \in V - I$, $\sum_{u \in I} d(u) = e$ (implying $\sum_{v \in V - I} d(v) = e$ and thereby G is bipartite with partition sets of I and $V - I$), and $\delta = d(u)$ for each $u \in I$. Hence,

$$(k + 2)\delta = |E(I, V - I)| = \Delta(n - k - 2) \geq \delta(n - k - 2).$$

Thus $2k + 4 \geq n \geq 2k + 2$. Therefore, we have $n = 2k + 4$, $n = 2k + 3$, or $n = 2k + 2$. If $n = 2k + 4 \geq 9$, then $\delta = \Delta$ and $k \geq 3$. Lemma 2.3 implies that G is Hamiltonian and thereby G is traceable, which is a contradiction. If $n = 2k + 3 \geq 9$, then $k \geq 3$. Lemma 2.4 implies that G has a cycle of length at least $(n - 1)$. Hence G is traceable, which is again a contradiction. If $n = 2k + 2$, then G is $K_{k, k+2}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2(ii). \square

From the proof of Theorem 1.1, the next result follows.

Corollary 3.1. *Let G be a graph with n vertices and $e \geq 1$ edges. Suppose that $\alpha \geq \beta > 0$ and let I be any independence set of G with $|I| = \gamma$. Set $\lambda_1 := \lambda_{\alpha, \beta; 1}$ and $\lambda_n := \lambda_{\alpha, \beta; n}$. Then*

$$\lambda_1 \geq (\alpha + \beta) \sqrt{\frac{\gamma\delta^2}{n} + \frac{e^2}{n(n - \gamma)}} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_n \leq (\alpha + \beta) \sqrt{\frac{(n - \gamma)\Delta^2}{n} + \frac{e^2}{n\gamma}}.$$

Acknowledgment

The author would like to thank the referees for their suggestions and comments which lead to the improvements of the initial version of the manuscript.

References

- [1] J. A. Bondy, U. S. R. Murty, *Graph Theory with Applications*, Elsevier, New York, 1976.
- [2] V. Chvátal, P. Erdős, A note on Hamiltonian circuits, *Discrete Math.* **2** (1972) 111–113.
- [3] R. A. Horn, C. R. Johnson, *Matrix Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [4] B. Jackson, Long cycles in bipartite graphs. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **38** (1985) 118–131.
- [5] J. Li, Y. Pan, Upper bounds for the Laplacian graph eigenvalues, *Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)* **20** (2004) 803–806.
- [6] J. Moon, L. Moser, On Hamiltonian bipartite graphs, *Israel J. Math.* **1** (1963) 163–165.