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#### Abstract

In this note, spectral conditions involving the eigenvalues, Laplacian eigenvalues and signless Laplacian eigenvalues are derived for Hamiltonian properties of graphs.
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## 1. Introduction

The graphs considered in this note are finite undirected graphs containing neither multiple edges nor loops. Terminology and notation, not defined here, can be found in [1]. For a graph $G=(V, E)$, the number of vertices in $G$ is denoted by $n$. The complete graph of order $n$ is denoted by $K_{n}$. Denote by $G^{c}$ the complement of a graph $G$. For the two graphs $H$ and $K$, denote by $H \vee K$ the join of $H$ and $K$. Define $G_{1}(n, k):=K_{k} \vee K_{k+1}^{c}$ for $k \geq 2$ and $G_{2}(n, k):=K_{k} \vee K_{k+2}^{c}$ for $k \geq 1$. A cycle in a graph $G$ that contains all the vertices of $G$ is called a Hamiltonian cycle of $G$. A graph containing a Hamiltonian cycle is known as a Hamiltonian graph. A path in a graph $G$ that consists of all the vertices of $G$ is referred to as a Hamiltonian path of $G$. A graph containing a Hamiltonian path is called a traceable graph. Obviously, $G_{1}(n, k)$ is not a Hamiltonian graph and $G_{2}(n, k)$ is not a traceable graph.

The eigenvalues of the adjacency matrix $A(G)$ of a graph $G$ are called the the eigenvalues of $G$. Let $\lambda_{1}(G), \lambda_{2}(G), \ldots, \lambda_{n}(G)$ satisfying $\lambda_{1}(G) \geq \lambda_{2}(G) \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_{n}(G)$, be the eigenvalues of the graph $G$. Let $D(G)$ be the diagonal matrix $\operatorname{diag}\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ of $G$, where $d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}$ are the degrees of vertices in the graph $G$. For a graph $G$, the eigenvalues of the matrix

$$
L(G):=D(G)-A(G)
$$

are denoted by $\mu_{1}(G), \mu_{2}(G), \ldots, \mu_{n}(G)$, where $\mu_{1}(G) \geq \mu_{2}(G) \geq \ldots \geq \mu_{n}(G)=0$, and these eigenvalues are called the Laplacian eigenvalues of $G$. For a graph $G$, the eigenvalues of the matrix

$$
Q(G):=D(G)+A(G)
$$

are called the signless Laplacian eigenvalues of $G$ and these eigenvalues are denoted by $q_{1}(G), q_{2}(G), \ldots, q_{n}(G)$, where $q_{1}(G) \geq q_{2}(G) \geq \ldots \geq q_{n}(G) \geq 0$.

Notice that we can use Theorem 2.8 given on Page 57 of [2] to find $a_{i}:=\lambda_{i}\left(G_{1}(n, k)\right)$ and $a_{i}^{\prime}:=\lambda_{i}\left(G_{2}(n, k)\right)$, where $i$ is any integer satisfying the inequality $1 \leq i \leq n$. Also, we can use Theorem 2.1 given on Page 225 of [5] to find $b_{i}:=\mu_{i}\left(G_{1}(n, k)\right)$ and $b_{i}^{\prime}:=\mu_{i}\left(G_{2}(n, k)\right)$, where $i$ is any integer satisfying the inequality $1 \leq i \leq n$. Moreover, we can use (1) mentioned on Page 992 of [4] to find $c_{i}:=q_{i}\left(G_{1}(n, k)\right)$ and $c_{i}^{\prime}:=q_{i}\left(G_{2}(n, k)\right)$, where $i$ is any integer with $1 \leq i \leq n$.

In this note, spectral conditions involving the eigenvalues, Laplacian eigenvalues and signless Laplacian eigenvalues are presented for Hamiltonian properties of graphs. Next, we give the statements of the main results of this note.

Theorem 1.1. For $k \geq 2$, let $G$ be a $k$-connected graph of order $n$. For each $i$ with $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $\alpha_{1}$, $\beta_{i}$ and $\gamma_{i}$ be non-negative real numbers such that $\alpha_{1}$ and $\gamma_{1}$ cannot be equal to zero simultaneously. If

$$
\alpha_{1} \lambda_{1}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i} \mu_{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_{i} q_{i} \geq \alpha_{1} a_{1}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i} b_{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_{i} c_{i}
$$

then $G$ is Hamiltonian or $K_{k} \vee K_{k+1}^{c}$.

[^0]Theorem 1.2. Let $G$ be a $k$-connected graph of order $n$, where $k \geq 1$. For each $i$ with $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $\alpha_{1}$, $\beta_{i}$ and $\gamma_{i}$ be non-negative real numbers such that $\alpha_{1}$ and $\gamma_{1}$ cannot be equal to zero simultaneously. If

$$
\alpha_{1} \lambda_{1}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i} \mu_{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_{i} q_{i} \geq \alpha_{1} a_{1}^{\prime}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i} b_{i}^{\prime}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_{i} c_{i}^{\prime},
$$

then $G$ is traceable or $K_{k} \vee K_{k+2}^{c}$.

## 2. Some lemmas

We need the following results as lemmas to prove our theorems. The following lemma is a part of Corollary 1.5 given on Page 11 of [6].

Lemma 2.1. For any edge e of a connected graph $G, \lambda_{1}(G-e)<\lambda_{1}(G)$.
The next result follows from Theorem 1.14 given on Page 13 of [6] and from the fact that $\mu_{n}(H)=0$ for any graph $H$.
Lemma 2.2. For any edge e of a connected graph $G, \mu_{i}(G-e) \leq \mu_{i}(G)$, for each $i$ with $1 \leq i \leq n$.
the following lemma is a part of Corollary 1.16 given on Page 14 of [6].
Lemma 2.3. For any edge e of a connected graph $G, q_{1}(G-e)<q_{1}(G)$.
Next lemma follows directly from Theorem 2.1 given on Page 13 of [3].
Lemma 2.4. For any edge e of a connected graph $G, q_{i}(G-e) \leq q_{i}(G)$, for each $i$ with $1 \leq i \leq n$.

## 3. Proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Suppose that $G$ is a graph satisfying the constraints mentioned in Theorem 1.1. Suppose that $G$ is not a Hamiltonian graph. Then $G$ is not a complete graph. We further have that $n \geq 2 k+1$ otherwise $2 \delta \geq 2 k \geq n$ and $G$ is Hamiltonian. Since $k \geq 2, G$ contains a cycle. Let $C$ be a longest cycle in the graph $G$ and take an orientation on the cycle $C$. As $G$ is not a Hamiltonian graph, there is at least one vertex $x_{0} \in V(G) \backslash V(C)$. Because of Menger's theorem, there are $s$ pairwise disjoint (except for the vertex $x_{0}$ ) paths $P_{1}, P_{2}, \ldots, P_{s}$ between $x_{0}$ and $V(C)$, where $s \geq k$. For $1 \leq i \leq s$, assume that $u_{i}$ is an end vertex of $P_{i}$ lying on $C$. For $1 \leq i \leq s$, denote by $u_{i}^{+}$the successor of the vertex $u_{i}$ along the orientation of the cycle $C$. Then, $\left\{x_{0}, u_{1}^{+}, u_{2}^{+}, \ldots, u_{s}^{+}\right\}$is an independent set, otherwise $G$ has at least one cycle of length greater than that of the cycle $C$. Therefore, $S:=\left\{x_{0}, u_{1}^{+}, u_{2}^{+}, \ldots, u_{k}^{+}\right\}$is an independent set and $|S|=k+1$. Take

$$
T:=V(G)-S=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{r}\right\} .
$$

Thus,

$$
|T|=r=n-|S|=n-(k+1) \geq k .
$$

It is clear that $x y \in E$ for every $x \in S$ and for every $y \in T$, and $v_{i} v_{j} \in E$ where $1 \leq i \neq j \leq r$. Otherwise, from Lemmas 2.1, 2.2, 2.3 and 2.4, we have

$$
\alpha_{1} a_{1}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i} b_{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_{i} c_{i} \leq \alpha_{1} \lambda_{1}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i} \mu_{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_{i} q_{i}<\alpha_{1} a_{1}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i} b_{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_{i} c_{i},
$$

a contradiction. If $r \geq(k+1)$, it is obvious that $G$ is Hamiltonian. Thus $r \leq k$. Namely, $r=k$ and $G$ is $G_{1}(n, k)$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Suppose that $G$ is a graph satisfying the conditions of Theorem 1.2. assume that $G$ is not a traceable graph. Then, $G$ is not complete. We further have that $n \geq 2 k+2$ otherwise $2 \delta \geq 2 k \geq n-1$ and $G$ is a traceable graph. Let $P$ be a longest path in the graph $G$ and take an orientation on the path $P$. Let $y, z \in V(G)$ be the end vertices of the path $P$. As $G$ is not a traceable graph, there is at least one vertex $x_{0} \in V(G) \backslash V(P)$. Because of Menger's theorem, there are $s$ pairwise disjoint (except for $x_{0}$ ) paths $P_{1}, P_{2}, \ldots, P_{s}$ between $x_{0}$ and $V(P)$, where $s \geq k$. For $1 \leq i \leq s$, assume that $u_{i}$ is the end vertex of the path $P_{i}$ lying on $P$. As $P$ is a longest path in the graph $G, y \neq u_{i}$ and $z \neq u_{i}$, for each $i$ with $1 \leq i \leq s$, otherwise $G$ has at least one path of length greater than that of the path $P$. For $1 \leq i \leq s$, denote by $u_{i}^{+}$the successor of the vertex $u_{i}$ along the orientation of the path $P$. Then, $\left\{x_{0}, y, u_{1}^{+}, u_{2}^{+}, \ldots, u_{s}^{+}\right\}$is an independent set otherwise
$G$ has at least one path of length greater than that of the path $P$. Therefore, $S:=\left\{x_{0}, y, u_{1}^{+}, u_{2}^{+}, \ldots, u_{k}^{+}\right\}$is independent and $|S|=k+2$. Now, take $T:=V(G)-S=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{r}\right\}$. Thus, $|T|=r=n-|S|=n-(k+2) \geq k$.

It is clear that $x y \in E$ for every $x \in S$ and for every $y \in T$, and $v_{i} v_{j} \in E$ where $1 \leq i \neq j \leq r$. Otherwise, from Lemmas 2.1, 2.2, 2.3 and 2.4, we have that

$$
\alpha_{1} a_{1}^{\prime}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i} b_{i}^{\prime}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_{i} c_{i}^{\prime} \leq \alpha_{1} \lambda_{1}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i} \mu_{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_{i} q_{i}<\alpha_{1} a_{1}^{\prime}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i} b_{i}^{\prime}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_{i} c_{i}^{\prime}
$$

a contradiction. If $r \geq(k+1)$, it is obvious that $G$ is traceable. Thus $r \leq k$. Namely, $r=k$ and $G$ is $G_{2}(n, k)$.

Since we have infinitely many choices for the values of $\alpha_{1}, \beta_{i} \geq 0(1 \leq i \leq n)$, and $\gamma_{i} \geq 0(1 \leq i \leq n)$ in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, we can obtain infinitely many sufficient conditions for Hamiltonian and traceable graphs.
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